```
00:00:01.300 --> 00:00:03.800
Past four hearing is now resumed.
1
00:00:06.100 --> 00:00:08.300
And I'll hand straight over to Mr. Rigby.
00:00:20.300 --> 00:00:22.900
Thanks everyone welcome back to resume hearing.
00:00:24.700 --> 00:00:28.300
Just before the break Mr. Steel said
4
00:00:27.300 --> 00:00:30.200
he had a brief point to make on.
00:00:31.300 --> 00:00:31.400
the
00:00:33.100 --> 00:00:36.800
ACV roots and forecast impacts item
00:00:36.800 --> 00:00:39.700
 just before we wrap it up. So Mr. Steele,
00:00:39.700 --> 00:00:39.800
please.
00:00:40.900 --> 00:00:43.700
Thank you, sir. John steel Casey and
10
00:00:43.700 --> 00:00:46.400
IPL for the action group
11
00:00:46.400 --> 00:00:49.100
 in this particular matter. So it might
12
00:00:49.100 --> 00:00:52.300
 be slightly more than a brief point because what we are trying to
```

```
13
00:00:52.300 --> 00:00:55.500
 understand is the consequence on
14
00:00:55.500 --> 00:00:58.700
 snail. Well, you very kindly have looked at the matter
15
00:00:58.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:01.300
 concerning that whole road, but it's snail one
16
00:01:01.300 --> 00:01:05.100
just to understand what the position is. Can I go to a two
17
00:01:04.100 --> 00:01:05.500
 documents?
18
00:01:06.400 --> 00:01:09.200
The first sir is the management.
00:01:10.400 --> 00:01:14.300
document which is rep 5 -
00:01:14.300 --> 00:01:15.300
0 1 6
21
00:01:16.500 --> 00:01:19.000
if you could open that I'd be very grateful because it is the actual
22
00:01:19.500 --> 00:01:21.500
wording in it. Which when we have to look at please.
23
00:01:24.100 --> 00:01:25.600
rep 5
00:01:27.300 --> 00:01:28.300
- 0 1 6
25
00:01:29.200 --> 00:01:32.900
And when you refine that's it's PDF page
```

26

```
00:01:32.900 --> 00:01:34.600
 25, yeah.
27
00:01:37.000 --> 00:01:37.200
and
28
00:01:38.900 --> 00:01:41.400
What's your point please? Well, I can read
29
00:01:41.400 --> 00:01:44.400
 it out, sir. Or yes. If you would summary of
30
00:01:44.400 --> 00:01:47.800
 the site accesses are provided below as a response to examination
question
31
00:01:47.800 --> 00:01:51.100
 q1 1024 to provide
32
00:01:51.100 --> 00:01:53.500
 clarification of the site access is taken forward.
33
00:01:54.100 --> 00:01:57.800
The site accesses are also shown on figure 2 to figure 13. And
34
00:01:57.800 --> 00:02:00.900
when you go to figures two and four, then
00:02:00.900 --> 00:02:03.400
 there are two site accesses to Sonica West site
36
00:02:03.400 --> 00:02:06.900
 a and one of them as you're aware series lahog,
37
00:02:06.900 --> 00:02:09.400
 and the other one which is the secondary axis, which
38
00:02:09.400 --> 00:02:13.700
 is just North of east of snail. Well the sun
39
00:02:12.700 --> 00:02:15.600
```

```
site accesses which identified it
40
00:02:15.600 --> 00:02:18.300
goes on Save 4.1.9 the side axis, which
41
00:02:18.300 --> 00:02:21.800
 identified to be used within the operational phase. Our existing
42
00:02:21.800 --> 00:02:24.400
field access is an expected to be used in frequently
43
00:02:24.400 --> 00:02:27.300
for maintenance. And then what it says is this
44
00:02:27.300 --> 00:02:30.900
Sonica West site a colon site
45
00:02:30.900 --> 00:02:33.700
access B on Chippenham road to
46
00:02:33.700 --> 00:02:36.800
be used within construction operational and
47
00:02:36.800 --> 00:02:39.300
decommissioning phases. So the
48
00:02:39.300 --> 00:02:42.800
beginning of the paragraph talks about the operational phase. Yeah,
then
49
00:02:42.800 \longrightarrow 00:02:45.600
 it says construction operational decommissioning phases
50
00:02:45.600 --> 00:02:48.300
and then it says this is an existing access which
51
00:02:48.300 --> 00:02:51.900
 is expected to be used infrequently during the operational phase
for
52
00:02:51.900 --> 00:02:54.000
```

```
maintenance purposes. So
53
00:02:54.100 --> 00:02:57.900
What we wish to know is what the usage is
00:02:57.900 --> 00:03:01.000
 because it doesn't say here of that
55
00:03:00.400 --> 00:03:03.800
 particular access during the construction phase
56
00:03:03.800 --> 00:03:06.800
 and also because
57
00:03:06.800 --> 00:03:10.300
 it is considered to be unsuitable
58
00:03:09.300 --> 00:03:12.600
for hgvs. It is
59
00:03:12.600 --> 00:03:15.100
 gay and it goes over the railway line. So I'm sure
60
00:03:15.100 --> 00:03:18.600
 you you're aware of shipping rate and there's a humpback Bridge
there and
61
00:03:18.600 --> 00:03:21.700
 it has a narrow pavement
62
00:03:21.700 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.000
 there, but the inadequacies of
63
00:03:24.300 --> 00:03:27.300
 the road are apparent when you go there. So it's
64
00:03:27.300 --> 00:03:30.600
 the extent to which that particular road is to be used because
65
00:03:30.600 --> 00:03:33.800
when one looks through all the tables, there is
```

```
66
00:03:33.800 --> 00:03:37.400
no identification of any projected usage
67
00:03:36.400 --> 00:03:39.200
of it at any stage
68
00:03:39.200 --> 00:03:42.100
 during the construction phase when it does say it is going
69
00:03:42.100 --> 00:03:43.900
to be used during the construction phase.
70
00:03:45.100 --> 00:03:48.400
Thank you. Could you respond please for the applicant Mr.
71
00:03:48.400 --> 00:03:51.800
Tony? I'll ask Mr. Carter spit that up. So the question is about
Sonica
72
00:03:51.800 --> 00:03:52.700
West site a
73
00:03:53.500 --> 00:03:57.000
comma or colon site access B Chippenham
74
00:03:56.100 --> 00:03:57.300
Road, I think.
00:03:58.700 --> 00:04:01.700
And so it's usage during the construction phase.
76
00:04:01.700 --> 00:04:02.600
Yes.
77
00:04:08.900 --> 00:04:12.400
Okay. Yes. The
78
00:04:12.400 --> 00:04:15.300
 I think Mr. Steele is is correct.
```

```
79
00:04:15.300 --> 00:04:18.200
That is a that is a site access
80
00:04:18.200 --> 00:04:21.300
 to be used during the during the construction phase. I'm not
00:04:21.300 --> 00:04:24.200
 I'm not quite clear what the actual what the actual question is.
82
00:04:24.200 --> 00:04:27.900
 I'm afraid about the level of usage what any information that
83
00:04:27.900 --> 00:04:30.100
 the number the number of vehicles and so on.
84
00:04:31.300 --> 00:04:34.400
Do we have that to handle should we come back to it? And it is
85
00:04:34.400 --> 00:04:36.700
 in the application documents we can.
86
00:04:38.100 --> 00:04:41.400
It's within the transport assessment and we will
87
00:04:41.400 --> 00:04:44.400
we can find the actual numbers for you.
88
00:04:44.400 --> 00:04:47.000
 So if you can direct your submissions here, please thank you.
89
00:04:47.900 --> 00:04:50.500
Well, so well, it sounds so we don't have the figure to hand but
that's
90
00:04:50.500 --> 00:04:53.800
we can someone can look it up. Well, that's something you can do
with offline. We'll
91
00:04:53.800 --> 00:04:56.400
 deal with it offline. Probably we can do it in the next few
minutes. So we're
```

```
92
00:04:56.400 --> 00:04:59.400
 just we're just search that I most grateful. So it's
93
00:04:59.400 --> 00:05:02.500
not it's not information is actually missing. It's just that it's
94
00:05:02.500 \longrightarrow 00:05:05.300
 needs to be located documents. I'm sure by five
95
00:05:05.300 --> 00:05:08.700
o'clock when we finish we'll be able to tell you where it is,
right? Thanks
96
00:05:08.700 --> 00:05:11.800
very much. That's very helpful. So I'm very
97
00:05:11.800 --> 00:05:12.700
heavily laid and
98
00:05:15.100 --> 00:05:18.500
Sorry, sorry. I've looked
99
00:05:18.500 --> 00:05:21.100
for it and I can't find that information. It may well be I'm
00:05:21.100 --> 00:05:24.300
 looking the wrong place, but if that information can be provided
and I
101
00:05:24.300 \longrightarrow 00:05:27.100
 can come back to that particular point. I'd be very grateful. Thank
you
102
00:05:27.100 --> 00:05:28.900
very much. Thanks. Thank you, very
00:05:29.900 --> 00:05:32.900
so if we could move on to site access
104
00:05:32.900 --> 00:05:33.300
```

```
is actually
105
00:05:34.200 --> 00:05:35.100
the next
106
00:05:36.400 --> 00:05:39.400
so are you are you moving away then from
107
00:05:39.400 --> 00:05:42.400
bullet point to because you remember I put
108
00:05:42.400 --> 00:05:45.100
 down a marker about sorry. Did you have something else you wanted
to make
109
00:05:45.100 --> 00:05:48.200
 say on this point? Well, it was
110
00:05:48.200 --> 00:05:52.600
on the point about caps if you meant may remember right relates
111
00:05:51.600 --> 00:05:55.100
to forecast impacts. Yep.
112
00:05:54.100 --> 00:05:57.500
 I think that might be better made if
113
00:05:57.500 --> 00:06:00.900
we could on a traffic management and regulation, right? Absolutely.
114
00:06:00.900 \longrightarrow 00:06:03.200
 Okay with yourself. I
115
00:06:03.200 --> 00:06:05.600
 think that much time perhaps better discussion we have there. Yeah.
116
00:06:06.300 --> 00:06:09.300
Thanks very much and do remind me if I don't cover it
117
00:06:09.300 --> 00:06:10.300
 explicitly. Thank you very much.
```

```
118
00:06:19.200 --> 00:06:20.700
So just very briefly.
119
00:06:21.600 --> 00:06:23.200
We note with the change.
120
00:06:24.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:27.300
in respect to site accesses
121
00:06:28.400 --> 00:06:31.100
That cable root access cell is now
122
00:06:31.100 --> 00:06:31.800
 gone.
123
00:06:32.800 --> 00:06:35.500
That was the site access.
124
00:06:36.900 --> 00:06:40.100
Via the H puts premises near
00:06:39.100 --> 00:06:41.200
 the a142.
126
00:06:43.300 --> 00:06:46.800
I just wanted to cover off whether they're
127
00:06:46.800 --> 00:06:48.300
 already knock-on effects because of that.
128
00:06:49.900 --> 00:06:52.500
of any appreciable magnitude
129
00:06:55.100 --> 00:06:58.300
I'm not expecting that there are but I just occur to ask.
130
00:06:59.900 --> 00:07:02.100
Richardson of the applicant know so there aren't
```

```
00:07:02.100 --> 00:07:05.600
 there aren't any impacts. We have an alternative means of
132
00:07:05.600 --> 00:07:09.600
 access that was introduced in the change request which was to avoid
133
00:07:08.600 --> 00:07:10.500
having to use the
134
00:07:13.600 --> 00:07:16.500
To avoid having to use H puts site access
135
00:07:16.500 --> 00:07:19.200
to access our site. So you're going to use it use the cable
136
00:07:19.200 --> 00:07:22.000
 route instead. Come along. Okay route instead. Yeah. Thank you.
137
00:07:23.100 --> 00:07:23.300
Just
138
00:07:24.500 --> 00:07:25.500
further from that
139
00:07:27.300 --> 00:07:27.600
how
140
00:07:29.400 --> 00:07:32.400
will the heavy Goods Vehicle drivers know which route to
141
00:07:32.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:35.300
 use to get to the various accesses? They need to get
142
00:07:35.300 --> 00:07:35.600
to?
143
00:07:38.900 --> 00:07:40.400
in the latest iteration
144
00:07:45.900 --> 00:07:48.900
And so sorry, we're
```

```
145
00:07:48.900 --> 00:07:51.700
 just just to pick it up the the means by
146
00:07:51.700 --> 00:07:54.500
which the drivers will be informed of
147
00:07:54.500 \longrightarrow 00:07:58.200
 the site access Arrangements. In
148
00:07:57.200 --> 00:08:00.300
 other words. How were they have that communicated to them
149
00:08:00.300 --> 00:08:03.400
to ensure that they arrive at the right locations?
150
00:08:07.500 --> 00:08:10.300
And it will be can you please repeat your name?
151
00:08:10.300 --> 00:08:13.600
 I know it sounds very boring. But for the recording, please say
your
152
00:08:13.600 --> 00:08:16.800
name each time you speak thank you. Sorry Chris Carter
00:08:16.800 --> 00:08:20.500
 for the applicant and the well, it
154
00:08:20.500 --> 00:08:23.500
will be part of the The Briefing that the
155
00:08:23.500 --> 00:08:26.300
 contractors are provided with and it
156
00:08:26.300 --> 00:08:29.200
will be part of the it's a it would be a normal
157
00:08:29.200 --> 00:08:32.500
 part of the construction traffic management plan when
```

```
158
00:08:32.500 --> 00:08:35.100
 the drivers are informed of which accesses they
159
00:08:35.100 --> 00:08:38.700
 are to go to and we have discussed with the with
160
00:08:38.700 --> 00:08:42.500
the local Highway authorities and the including a
161
00:08:42.500 --> 00:08:45.500
 signage on Street signage and if
162
00:08:45.500 --> 00:08:48.300
that is something that you're authorities want us
163
00:08:48.300 --> 00:08:50.900
 to take forward then we we are happy to include that in the
164
00:08:52.600 --> 00:08:55.400
Traffic management plan and we are also as
165
00:08:55.400 --> 00:09:00.100
 as kind of referred to earlier are our
166
00:08:58.100 --> 00:09:01.400
 responsibility is to monitor
167
00:09:01.400 --> 00:09:04.100
 the compliance of hpvs with these routes and
168
00:09:04.100 --> 00:09:07.100
 reports back to the authorities. And so that
169
00:09:07.100 --> 00:09:10.300
 that's will in that will ensure that not only
170
00:09:10.300 --> 00:09:13.400
we telling them where to go that we are also kind of checking up
171
00:09:13.400 --> 00:09:16.900
```

```
on on yes that's been done correctly and insofar
172
00:09:16.900 --> 00:09:19.900
 as that's not already in the outline or framework
173
00:09:19.900 --> 00:09:22.700
 ctmp that will be in the next destination. So
174
00:09:22.700 --> 00:09:25.600
the that requirement
175
00:09:25.600 --> 00:09:28.800
 is is already in the ctm. Yes. So you'll
176
00:09:28.800 --> 00:09:31.600
 see page 77 of the ctmp paragraph section
177
00:09:31.600 --> 00:09:32.800
8.2. Yeah.
178
00:09:33.600 --> 00:09:34.500
Right. Thanks.
179
00:09:38.600 --> 00:09:41.400
Quit well next if you could give an
180
00:09:41.400 --> 00:09:44.700
update on what you propose and respects
181
00:09:44.700 --> 00:09:47.800
 of road safety audits for site accesses. Again,
182
00:09:47.800 --> 00:09:49.100
this has been a subject of discussion.
183
00:09:50.100 --> 00:09:53.600
And I wondered what the latest position was
184
00:09:53.600 --> 00:09:54.000
 on that.
```

```
185
00:09:55.200 --> 00:09:58.300
So I missed really the road safety audits you
186
00:09:58.300 --> 00:10:00.600
 intend to carry out in respect to the site accesses.
00:10:02.100 --> 00:10:05.200
And yes, I can Mr. Cars for
188
00:10:05.200 --> 00:10:08.900
 the applicant. I can report that we
189
00:10:08.900 --> 00:10:12.200
have we have agreed with the authorities that
190
00:10:11.200 --> 00:10:14.400
we will undertake that safety audits at
191
00:10:14.400 --> 00:10:17.900
 at specific accesses. We've supplied
192
00:10:17.900 --> 00:10:20.700
 CVS of the Auditors for the authorities to
193
00:10:20.700 --> 00:10:23.600
 review. Yeah, and the authorities intend
194
00:10:23.600 --> 00:10:26.600
 to what we have invited your authorities to join that us and
195
00:10:26.600 --> 00:10:29.300
 that will happen if we can if we can make sure the dates
196
00:10:29.300 --> 00:10:32.100
are are going to work and and we
197
00:10:32.100 --> 00:10:35.500
will submit the briefs to the authorities for their approval.
198
00:10:35.500 --> 00:10:38.100
```

```
Yeah priority undertaking it. Are you
199
00:10:38.100 --> 00:10:41.900
 able to say at the moment? Which accesses those are it's the
200
00:10:41.900 --> 00:10:45.000
 it's the main site accesses
201
00:10:44.700 --> 00:10:47.900
 on Elms Road and the whole Road plus any
202
00:10:47.900 --> 00:10:50.400
 accesses where where substations are going
203
00:10:50.400 --> 00:10:51.200
 to be accessed from?
204
00:10:52.400 --> 00:10:55.700
And when we submit the the briefs to the authorities and
205
00:10:55.700 --> 00:10:58.400
 that will obviously include making sure
206
00:10:58.400 --> 00:11:00.700
 that we're that that we are.
207
00:11:02.500 --> 00:11:05.700
Safety auditing the right access is yes, and will
208
00:11:05.700 --> 00:11:08.300
 that be something else that included in the
209
00:11:08.300 --> 00:11:12.100
 ctmp or any other documents for
210
00:11:11.100 --> 00:11:12.400
us to?
211
00:11:13.900 --> 00:11:15.500
We we don't.
```

```
212
00:11:16.400 --> 00:11:19.700
Mr. Cards for the applicant and we don't consider it
213
00:11:19.700 --> 00:11:22.900
 necessary to include it in the ctmp. We feel
214
00:11:22.900 --> 00:11:26.300
 that it can be something that is undertaken
215
00:11:25.300 --> 00:11:28.600
 offline as it were and reported back
216
00:11:28.600 --> 00:11:31.800
 in the statement of common ground and however, it's
217
00:11:31.800 --> 00:11:32.800
 not something that we are.
218
00:11:33.800 --> 00:11:37.200
we wouldn't dig our heels in if others
219
00:11:36.200 --> 00:11:37.800
 felt otherwise
220
00:11:38.900 --> 00:11:39.200
Thank you.
221
00:11:40.500 --> 00:11:42.800
Could I move at this point to?
222
00:11:44.300 --> 00:11:47.400
Local authorities for any comments you'd like to make we'd like
223
00:11:47.400 --> 00:11:50.100
 to go first. Just Bradford. Thank you.
224
00:11:50.100 --> 00:11:53.400
Thank you, sir. Michael Bedford Suffolk County
225
00:11:53.400 --> 00:11:54.100
```

```
Council.
226
00:11:56.400 --> 00:12:00.000
Specifically on the issue of road safety audits
227
00:11:59.100 --> 00:12:02.800
we welcome the indication that
228
00:12:02.800 --> 00:12:05.400
has been given obviously time is
229
00:12:05.400 --> 00:12:08.800
 pressing in relation to to that
230
00:12:08.800 --> 00:12:11.700
 and clearly there is
231
00:12:11.700 --> 00:12:14.200
 going to be limited time to reflect that in the statement of Common
232
00:12:14.200 --> 00:12:17.800
 Ground. I think deadline eight if
233
00:12:17.800 --> 00:12:21.500
those audits have not yet been undertaken, but
234
00:12:20.500 --> 00:12:23.200
that's that's I
235
00:12:23.200 --> 00:12:27.400
 think we're all just aware that there are these time time
pressures. So
236
00:12:26.400 --> 00:12:29.800
 that's all I think what we want to audits.
237
00:12:29.800 --> 00:12:30.400
Thank you, sir.
238
00:12:31.400 --> 00:12:31.800
Thank you.
```

```
239
00:12:34.100 --> 00:12:34.800
Cambridgeshire
240
00:12:37.900 --> 00:12:41.000
I agree with what mother Mohammed
241
00:12:40.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:43.300
 on behalf of Cambria County Council agree with
242
00:12:43.300 --> 00:12:46.300
what my learner friend said in relation
243
00:12:46.300 --> 00:12:49.400
to the road safety audit and that's also helpful.
244
00:12:49.400 --> 00:12:53.200
There was just something that we were trying to locate
245
00:12:52.200 --> 00:12:55.600
 and maybe we'll come back to this. There were
246
00:12:55.600 --> 00:12:58.000
 some recommendations that we had made to be
247
00:12:58.400 --> 00:13:01.600
 included in this ctmp. Partially though
248
00:13:01.600 --> 00:13:04.800
not completely supported by
249
00:13:04.800 --> 00:13:07.300
 one of your questions in the early
250
00:13:07.300 --> 00:13:10.400
 stages a we're struggling to find what that was.
251
00:13:10.400 --> 00:13:13.300
 So we'll come back to you at some point to just highlight what that
252
```

```
00:13:13.300 --> 00:13:14.900
 is because what we want to see is whether
253
00:13:15.900 --> 00:13:18.300
that feedback that we gave and the
254
00:13:18.300 --> 00:13:21.500
 answers that you got from the applicant has now fed
255
00:13:21.500 --> 00:13:24.700
 into the latest ctmp, right? Okay. Well we've
256
00:13:24.700 --> 00:13:24.800
 got
257
00:13:26.300 --> 00:13:29.200
the rest of today we we're in session tomorrow,
258
00:13:29.200 --> 00:13:29.800
 S0
259
00:13:31.100 --> 00:13:32.600
I see no reason why you shouldn't.
260
00:13:33.800 --> 00:13:36.300
Raise that again if then if that will
261
00:13:36.300 --> 00:13:36.400
help you.
262
00:13:37.700 --> 00:13:38.000
Thank you.
263
00:13:41.300 --> 00:13:43.100
if I just move on now to
264
00:13:44.400 --> 00:13:45.400
the applicants
265
00:13:46.900 --> 00:13:48.600
cable routes access J
```

```
266
00:13:51.300 --> 00:13:54.200
Is close to the junction of the new and the former?
267
00:13:54.200 --> 00:13:55.400
A142?
268
00:13:56.700 --> 00:13:59.800
So if you could explain it's related
269
00:13:59.800 --> 00:14:01.900
to safety audits in some respect I suppose.
270
00:14:02.600 --> 00:14:03.900
if you explain for
271
00:14:04.900 --> 00:14:06.000
That site access.
272
00:14:07.100 --> 00:14:10.200
How you'll avoid over sailing onto the opposite courageway and how
273
00:14:10.200 --> 00:14:14.000
 so how you avoid rear-end collisions when
274
00:14:13.600 --> 00:14:16.500
 they're hgv turning into the sites coming
275
00:14:16.500 --> 00:14:19.300
east of the direction on the approached around
276
00:14:19.300 --> 00:14:19.500
 about?
277
00:14:25.500 --> 00:14:28.900
Okay, Chris Carter for the applicant and part
278
00:14:28.900 --> 00:14:32.000
 of what we're doing is updating the tracking
279
```

```
00:14:31.300 --> 00:14:34.400
 them to show the vehicle movements going
280
00:14:34.400 --> 00:14:38.000
 into into the into the site and if necessary,
281
00:14:37.300 --> 00:14:40.800
we would use some
282
00:14:40.800 --> 00:14:43.300
 of the land on the on the left hand side as you
283
00:14:43.300 --> 00:14:46.800
 turn in to ensure that the vehicle wouldn't
284
00:14:46.800 --> 00:14:49.200
 cross over onto the onto the
285
00:14:49.200 --> 00:14:52.500
 far side of the carriageway. And we we do note there's been
286
00:14:52.500 --> 00:14:55.100
 a use of a temporary construction access on the
287
00:14:55.100 --> 00:14:58.400
 other side of the carriageway right where we understand that
288
00:14:58.400 --> 00:15:00.500
 that has happened and we
289
00:15:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.500
We feel that this issue of so we in the
290
00:15:04.500 --> 00:15:07.100
 first instance, we will update the tracking so that it doesn't go
over
291
00:15:07.100 --> 00:15:10.100
 the other Carriage Way, and in terms of the of the
292
00:15:10.100 --> 00:15:14.200
```

```
rear shunt issue and we differ in
293
00:15:13.200 --> 00:15:16.800
 our opinion from that of the of the
294
00:15:16.800 --> 00:15:19.200
 authorities in that into in as to
295
00:15:19.200 --> 00:15:22.700
 whether that is or is not a safety issue. And that's one
296
00:15:22.700 --> 00:15:25.900
 of the locations where a road safety audit will be undertaken. Oh,
297
00:15:25.900 --> 00:15:29.700
 right. Yeah starting my next question, which will yeah with
298
00:15:28.700 --> 00:15:31.400
 as you as you're aware.
299
00:15:31.400 --> 00:15:34.400
 So very safety audits are independent. Yes, and you'll see
300
00:15:34.400 --> 00:15:35.400
 if they come up with that problem.
00:15:36.200 --> 00:15:39.500
So yeah, no, thanks very much. That's that's very helpful.
302
00:15:41.300 --> 00:15:45.000
And also on site accesses
303
00:15:44.500 --> 00:15:48.000
 if we could clarify that.
304
00:15:49.500 --> 00:15:51.000
site accessory
305
00:15:51.600 --> 00:15:53.300
will be used for All Phases.
```

```
306
00:15:54.200 --> 00:15:57.200
And access J on Golf Links roads, and
307
00:15:57.200 --> 00:15:57.800
 I've been removed.
308
00:15:59.400 --> 00:16:01.600
Is that is our understanding, correct?
309
00:16:05.500 --> 00:16:08.400
I am just to make sure Mr. Carter
310
00:16:08.400 --> 00:16:11.200
 for the applicant and to make sure that I don't mislead you
311
00:16:11.200 --> 00:16:13.400
 I'm going to refer to the
312
00:16:14.400 --> 00:16:18.100
To the original documentation and the acts there
313
00:16:18.100 --> 00:16:21.300
 is an access on Golf Links Road, and it would be
314
00:16:21.300 --> 00:16:25.800
 it would not be used for hgvs.
315
00:16:24.800 --> 00:16:28.200
 I'm just going trying
316
00:16:27.200 --> 00:16:30.000
 to get to the right page so I can correct.
317
00:16:31.500 --> 00:16:34.500
So I can advise you you correctly. So there
318
00:16:34.500 --> 00:16:37.500
 is that sorry access. There is no longer an access
319
00:16:37.500 --> 00:16:40.700
```

```
K on Golf Links Road and access J
320
00:16:40.700 --> 00:16:43.400
 is then operation is a secondary
321
00:16:43.400 --> 00:16:46.100
 access in on in your operational phase only
322
00:16:46.100 --> 00:16:49.000
 and that will there will be no hgvs using.
323
00:16:50.200 --> 00:16:53.600
Using that access and access I on New
324
00:16:53.600 --> 00:16:56.700
Market Road, which is the one in relatively close
325
00:16:56.700 --> 00:16:59.800
 proximity to the to the all. That is
326
00:16:59.800 --> 00:17:02.500
 a secondary access. Sorry that yeah,
327
00:17:02.500 --> 00:17:06.100
 it's a secondary access for construction and decommissioning. That
00:17:05.100 --> 00:17:09.300
would not be any use of access. I
329
00:17:08.300 --> 00:17:12.400
 on New Market Road during the operational phase
330
00:17:12.400 --> 00:17:15.000
 right? So
331
00:17:16.300 --> 00:17:17.800
I is for
332
00:17:19.300 --> 00:17:22.900
construction and decommissioning. Correct J
```

```
333
00:17:22.900 --> 00:17:25.600
 is operational which is
334
00:17:25.600 --> 00:17:28.200
 on Golf Links Road, but it's operational only so
335
00:17:28.200 --> 00:17:31.100
 you'd be expecting small Vehicles. It would be
336
00:17:31.100 --> 00:17:34.600
 yes, it would be workers in our light
337
00:17:34.600 --> 00:17:37.600
 good. So LGB so not an hgv and that
338
00:17:37.600 --> 00:17:40.400
 likely to be a car and access K is
339
00:17:40.400 --> 00:17:40.700
 gone.
340
00:17:41.700 --> 00:17:44.400
Correct. Well access there is a separate access K.
341
00:17:44.400 --> 00:17:47.800
 But the one that you're referring to on Golf Links
342
00:17:47.800 --> 00:17:49.200
 Road is no longer part of the scheme.
343
00:17:49.700 --> 00:17:53.100
And in fact that was removed prior to submission, that's
344
00:17:52.100 --> 00:17:55.400
my understanding. I just wanted to get it all totally
345
00:17:55.400 --> 00:17:58.600
 straight. So absolutely sense of our submissions.
346
00:18:00.400 --> 00:18:04.100
```

```
and just as part of that if
347
00:18:03.100 --> 00:18:06.700
 you could update on what you now propose
00:18:06.700 --> 00:18:07.600
 inspects of
349
00:18:08.300 --> 00:18:10.500
safety measures and assigning strategy
350
00:18:11.200 --> 00:18:14.700
at access I which is the all New
351
00:18:14.700 --> 00:18:16.200
Market Road Golf Links Road.
352
00:18:18.600 --> 00:18:19.600
okay, so the
353
00:18:20.700 --> 00:18:25.100
The Wider The Wider point on on
354
00:18:23.100 --> 00:18:26.500
Golf Links Road saw a
355
00:18:26.500 --> 00:18:29.900
 new market Road for for everyone's benefit is
356
00:18:29.900 --> 00:18:32.500
 that there is a is it
357
00:18:32.500 --> 00:18:35.700
we're proposing a construction and decommissioning access and
358
00:18:36.600 --> 00:18:39.500
That is 90 meters from the The
359
00:18:39.500 --> 00:18:42.700
 Junction with the all and we previously undertook
```

```
360
00:18:42.700 --> 00:18:45.600
us undertook us a speed survey at
361
00:18:45.600 --> 00:18:48.700
the access itself and which identified that
362
00:18:48.700 --> 00:18:51.300
120 meters worth of visibility would be
363
00:18:51.300 --> 00:18:55.200
 required. So typically you your
364
00:18:54.200 --> 00:18:57.100
visibility is play Ghost and goes
365
00:18:57.100 --> 00:19:00.300
to the next adjacent adjacent to each of you
366
00:19:00.300 --> 00:19:03.400
talk about speed of vehicles coming off the all at that short slip
а
367
00:19:03.400 --> 00:19:06.700
long New Market road heading long. Yes, heading heading
368
00:19:06.700 --> 00:19:09.400
Northbound. And we we are in agreement with
369
00:19:09.400 --> 00:19:12.500
Suffolk County Council that a 90 meter visibility
370
00:19:12.500 --> 00:19:15.300
display represents a departure from standard
371
00:19:15.300 --> 00:19:18.400
and we have done a very safety
372
00:19:18.400 --> 00:19:22.600
audit which is reported in the ctmp and the recommendation
373
```

```
00:19:21.600 --> 00:19:24.800
 of the safety audit is that
374
00:19:24.800 --> 00:19:27.400
 it is is
375
00:19:27.400 --> 00:19:30.800
that a signage strategy would be in place to
376
00:19:30.800 --> 00:19:34.100
 advise vehicles that that there
377
00:19:33.100 --> 00:19:36.600
 is an access upcoming and to expect
378
00:19:36.600 --> 00:19:39.900
Vehicles to come out of the access now,
379
00:19:39.900 --> 00:19:43.700
we understand that as the
00:19:43.700 --> 00:19:46.200
 highway Authority and Suffolk County Council have
381
00:19:46.200 --> 00:19:49.400
 significant concerns regarding and that approach and
382
00:19:49.400 --> 00:19:52.800
 don't necessarily consider that that would be sufficient and I
383
00:19:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.300
 think the issue is more we've accepting a departure from
384
00:19:55.300 --> 00:19:58.200
 standard former visibility perspective necessarily than the
385
00:19:58.200 --> 00:20:01.200
 road safety audit. But yeah, I think the point is it's about
386
00:20:01.200 --> 00:20:04.800
 divisibility. Yeah. So in order to address this
```

```
387
00:20:04.800 --> 00:20:07.200
so the survey was undertaken at the access point
388
00:20:07.200 --> 00:20:10.400
and that's when Vehicles will
389
00:20:10.400 --> 00:20:14.200
have turned off and the all and accelerated up
390
00:20:13.200 --> 00:20:17.200
to the access point now we did
391
00:20:16.200 --> 00:20:20.200
this over there in the first place because it's a it's
392
00:20:19.200 --> 00:20:22.300
a robust way of ensuring that
393
00:20:22.300 --> 00:20:25.900
you have the right visibility now clearly, we don't have
00:20:25.900 --> 00:20:28.200
the visibility necessary because of that
395
00:20:28.200 --> 00:20:31.300
but the actual requirement for the
396
00:20:31.300 --> 00:20:34.300
speed survey to measure of visibility display is at the extent of
397
00:20:34.300 --> 00:20:36.200
the visibility display. So we
398
00:20:36.500 --> 00:20:39.400
are putting a an ATC to an automated traffic
399
00:20:39.400 --> 00:20:42.600
counter down in place that goes in on
400
```

```
00:20:42.600 --> 00:20:46.300
Monday and we've we spoke
401
00:20:46.300 --> 00:20:49.800
with Suffolk a week or so ago and these
402
00:20:49.800 --> 00:20:52.300
they seemed comfortable that that would
403
00:20:52.300 --> 00:20:55.500
 that that as an approach would give us a better understanding of
404
00:20:55.500 --> 00:20:58.500
what the visibility requirement actually is rather than
405
00:20:58.500 --> 00:21:02.000
 the worst case scenario of taking it at the access point itself and
406
00:21:01.100 --> 00:21:04.900
we are reasonably confident that
407
00:21:04.900 --> 00:21:07.300
 clearly with the radius coming
408
00:21:07.300 --> 00:21:10.800
 off the a road and the need for vehicles to slow that
409
00:21:10.800 --> 00:21:12.400
 the actual visibility the actual
410
00:21:13.300 \longrightarrow 00:21:16.200
and speeds measured close to
411
00:21:16.200 --> 00:21:20.200
 the closer to the access rather than at the access point itself
would
412
00:21:19.200 --> 00:21:22.700
 lessen the the visibility requirement
413
00:21:22.700 --> 00:21:25.400
```

```
in that location and we intend to
414
00:21:25.400 --> 00:21:28.600
 provide that data back to Suffolk County
415
00:21:28.600 --> 00:21:33.000
 Council and and hopefully subject
416
00:21:32.100 --> 00:21:35.600
 to the outcome of the survey resolved the
417
00:21:35.600 --> 00:21:38.500
 matter. Thank you. And of course bearing in
418
00:21:38.500 --> 00:21:41.300
 my what you've just updated just on that's only getting a bit
419
00:21:41.300 --> 00:21:44.300
necessary for the construction phase and later on
420
00:21:44.300 --> 00:21:47.400
 for the commissioning but won't be necessary signs won't
421
00:21:47.400 --> 00:21:50.200
 need to be permanent because you won't need them for the
operational phase video
422
00:21:50.200 --> 00:21:52.000
 because you you're accesses.
423
00:21:53.400 --> 00:21:56.500
Some place. Yes. That's that's correct. I mean they would the
signage
424
00:21:56.500 --> 00:21:59.900
 obviously is to be agreed. But the actual form
425
00:21:59.900 --> 00:22:02.800
 of the sign was will be very much a construction access
426
00:22:02.800 --> 00:22:03.300
```

```
coming up.
427
00:22:04.200 --> 00:22:05.000
Yeah, thank you.
428
00:22:10.700 --> 00:22:13.100
and just lastly on my last question
429
00:22:13.100 --> 00:22:14.200
 on this item before I
430
00:22:15.400 --> 00:22:17.600
ask other parties to comment.
431
00:22:19.100 --> 00:22:22.100
Was how you envisaged safe access?
432
00:22:23.200 --> 00:22:26.700
Being provided that the West a crane access
433
00:22:26.700 --> 00:22:29.300
 a online road, which we've discussed previously.
434
00:22:30.200 --> 00:22:33.300
without significant removal of vegetation on both sides of
435
00:22:33.300 --> 00:22:33.700
 the junction
436
00:22:35.300 --> 00:22:35.400
0kay.
437
00:22:36.100 --> 00:22:39.200
And so Mr. Carter the
438
00:22:39.200 --> 00:22:42.000
 applicant apologies, and so the
439
00:22:43.500 --> 00:22:46.900
I think to clarify we are we are
```

```
440
00:22:46.900 --> 00:22:49.800
assessing and folias removal
441
00:22:49.800 --> 00:22:52.500
at the access point and I appreciate
442
00:22:52.500 --> 00:22:55.400
there was that there was an Omission
443
00:22:55.400 --> 00:22:58.200
within the set of plans on in the ctmp and that
444
00:22:58.200 --> 00:23:02.200
we didn't show the visibility's play and that has been that
445
00:23:01.200 --> 00:23:05.100
has been provided offline to the Cambridge
446
00:23:04.100 --> 00:23:07.800
County Council for their for their review. The visibility
447
00:23:07.800 --> 00:23:10.500
display required is worst case
448
00:23:10.500 --> 00:23:14.400
because it's based on speed limit which is 215 meters
449
00:23:14.400 --> 00:23:18.000
and so that shows and that's
450
00:23:17.200 --> 00:23:20.900
all within all within the order limits. Now
451
00:23:20.900 --> 00:23:23.600
the the access itself
452
00:23:23.600 --> 00:23:27.100
that can accommodate two-way
453
00:23:26.100 --> 00:23:29.800
```

```
traffic movement and so just
454
00:23:29.800 --> 00:23:32.400
two-way car movement and it can accommodate a car and
00:23:32.400 --> 00:23:36.000
an hgv and making that making that
456
00:23:35.200 --> 00:23:39.100
turning movement and in the
457
00:23:38.100 --> 00:23:41.900
 incredibly unlikely event that
458
00:23:41.900 --> 00:23:43.200
so the delivery management
459
00:23:43.500 --> 00:23:46.500
system would result in would ensure
460
00:23:46.500 --> 00:23:49.100
that the hdv deliveries inbound and out. I would
461
00:23:49.100 --> 00:23:52.600
not be timed to coincide in the unlikely
00:23:52.600 --> 00:23:55.200
event that that did happen. We have written
463
00:23:55.200 --> 00:23:58.600
protocols into the ctmp to follow that
464
00:23:58.600 --> 00:24:01.300
would in and that is
465
00:24:03.400 --> 00:24:06.200
In seven points, he's not the one I've seen where you said that
466
00:24:06.200 --> 00:24:09.500
you'd hold a vehicle inside the site's room. Correct? The
```

```
467
00:24:09.500 --> 00:24:12.500
 outcoming the outbound vehicle weight. Yes. No,
468
00:24:12.500 --> 00:24:15.700
 that's that's exactly right so that we would avoid the whole
469
00:24:15.700 --> 00:24:19.000
 purpose of these of these things to is to
470
00:24:18.300 --> 00:24:21.700
 embed it into the site access design
471
00:24:21.700 --> 00:24:24.800
 and the detailed design of the internal site layout to
472
00:24:24.800 --> 00:24:27.200
 ensure that is complied with so that
473
00:24:27.200 --> 00:24:30.500
we don't have hgv's waiting in the waiting in
474
00:24:30.500 --> 00:24:34.000
the public Highway. Yeah, that's one. Yeah. Thanks a lot. And
that's
475
00:24:33.300 --> 00:24:36.500
 the one that I thought that look like
476
00:24:36.500 --> 00:24:38.200
the most probably the most critical one.
477
00:24:38.900 --> 00:24:41.500
Just um, is there anything similar?
478
00:24:42.600 --> 00:24:45.900
On the East a and East be accesses
479
00:24:45.900 --> 00:24:47.600
 the major accesses.
```

480

```
00:24:48.300 --> 00:24:50.700
Are there any other similar issues on those as well?
481
00:24:51.700 --> 00:24:52.600
So
482
00:24:55.300 --> 00:24:58.000
That measure within the ctmp applies.
483
00:24:58.800 --> 00:25:01.300
And applies overall. And yeah, I
484
00:25:01.300 --> 00:25:04.100
 know just fine. So we've ident we've talked
485
00:25:04.100 --> 00:25:07.800
about it in respect of West a but it applies to the other accesses
as
486
00:25:07.800 --> 00:25:07.800
well.
487
00:25:08.800 --> 00:25:09.600
That that's correct.
488
00:25:10.800 --> 00:25:13.400
And in terms
489
00:25:13.400 --> 00:25:16.400
 of the so the the in terms of the Elms Road
490
00:25:16.400 --> 00:25:19.600
 Site access as well the same the same applies
491
00:25:19.600 --> 00:25:20.700
 in terms of vehicle movements.
492
00:25:21.600 --> 00:25:24.700
And and whole and
493
00:25:24.700 --> 00:25:27.100
```

```
kind of providing visibility to the extent
494
00:25:27.100 --> 00:25:30.300
 of that is required because that's because those
495
00:25:30.300 --> 00:25:33.400
are permanent accesses for the two
496
00:25:33.400 --> 00:25:36.600
 areas of Staff. Yes parking during the operational phase. Yeah.
497
00:25:36.600 --> 00:25:37.300
Yeah.
498
00:25:38.300 --> 00:25:42.500
Thanks would the so can I just reach any
499
00:25:42.500 --> 00:25:45.300
of the application? I just want to found the answer to
500
00:25:45.300 --> 00:25:46.800
 the question was raised about.
501
00:25:48.400 --> 00:25:52.200
Access B for Seneca West site a so the
502
00:25:52.200 --> 00:25:55.600
 answer is to be found for your note in
503
00:25:55.600 --> 00:25:58.300
 appendix 13B to the es which
504
00:25:58.300 --> 00:26:01.100
 is the transport assessment. It's plate two
505
00:26:01.100 --> 00:26:04.500
 of that document and and
506
00:26:04.500 --> 00:26:07.100
 indeed Play 3. So plate two there shows the
```

```
507
00:26:07.100 --> 00:26:10.200
 forecast Peak daily HTV movements during the
508
00:26:10.200 --> 00:26:14.000
 construction period so access B is shown
509
00:26:13.200 --> 00:26:16.500
as 20 hgvs as a peak.
510
00:26:17.200 --> 00:26:20.400
and an average of nine that single
511
00:26:20.400 --> 00:26:24.200
 direction for the for the
512
00:26:24.200 --> 00:26:27.700
 construction period for Access B to silica
513
00:26:27.700 --> 00:26:28.300
West site a
514
00:26:29.300 --> 00:26:32.700
Is that some access a resource so
515
00:26:32.700 --> 00:26:33.000
 for?
516
00:26:34.700 --> 00:26:37.100
Access a for solicit massage
517
00:26:37.100 --> 00:26:38.000
 the other one.
518
00:26:39.400 --> 00:26:42.300
figures is slightly different for Access a I
519
00:26:42.300 --> 00:26:45.500
 think I think just one point that it might be worth just just being
520
00:26:45.500 --> 00:26:46.400
```

```
clear on is that
521
00:26:47.300 --> 00:26:50.900
the roots is a 11 lahog
522
00:26:50.900 --> 00:26:53.300
 Road access a and then
523
00:26:53.300 --> 00:26:57.000
 for Access B. It's a 14 a
524
00:26:56.600 --> 00:26:59.600
 142 and then
525
00:26:59.600 --> 00:27:00.100
 Short Road.
526
00:27:00.800 --> 00:27:03.600
Yes, it's true personal. Welcome directions. Yes.
527
00:27:03.600 --> 00:27:05.400
 I see. Mmm. Well, thank you very much.
528
00:27:09.500 --> 00:27:13.000
Mr. Bedford, do you have any comments to make on the
00:27:12.600 --> 00:27:15.300
 last batch of questions? I've just put to the
530
00:27:15.300 --> 00:27:15.500
 applicant.
531
00:27:16.200 --> 00:27:19.400
Thank you, sir. Michael Bedford Suffolk County Council. So there
532
00:27:19.400 --> 00:27:22.900
 are still some outstanding issues on accesses
533
00:27:22.900 --> 00:27:26.600
 that have been discussed with the
```

```
534
00:27:26.600 --> 00:27:29.200
applicant and at the
535
00:27:29.200 --> 00:27:32.900
moment the County Council still has outstanding concerns. We've
536
00:27:32.900 --> 00:27:35.600
heard from Mr. Carter that
537
00:27:35.600 --> 00:27:38.400
 some further work is being done to
538
00:27:38.400 --> 00:27:41.700
address some of those concerns. But if I just run through briefly
539
00:27:41.700 --> 00:27:44.200
the points. Yeah so far
540
00:27:44.200 --> 00:27:47.700
as site accesses a b and c on albums Road
541
00:27:47.700 --> 00:27:50.500
the visibility for Access B to
542
00:27:50.500 --> 00:27:53.200
the south for the operational phase is not been included on
543
00:27:53.200 --> 00:27:57.000
the plans and we think it needs to be the issues.
544
00:27:56.900 --> 00:27:59.100
There are also issues in relation to
545
00:27:59.100 --> 00:28:02.500
deliverability of the traffic management due to
546
00:28:02.500 --> 00:28:07.000
the proximity of the site the accesses the
547
00:28:05.200 --> 00:28:09.100
```

```
distance between them The Limited
548
00:28:08.100 --> 00:28:11.400
 Road width for the temporary signal
549
00:28:11.400 --> 00:28:14.700
heads. And the County Council is not yet convinced
550
00:28:14.700 --> 00:28:16.000
 that the full impacts of the
551
00:28:16.200 --> 00:28:20.000
relation clearance necessary for the 215 meters
552
00:28:19.400 --> 00:28:22.800
 of visibility and access C during
553
00:28:22.800 --> 00:28:25.300
the operational phases being fully documented.
554
00:28:26.500 --> 00:28:29.500
For accesses D and H on
555
00:28:29.500 --> 00:28:32.500
New Market rows or no visibility details provided for
556
00:28:32.500 --> 00:28:35.600
Access D during the operational phase and vegetation
557
00:28:35.600 --> 00:28:38.800
 removal for access h we
558
00:28:38.800 --> 00:28:41.300
 consider underestimates the depth of the Woodland and
559
00:28:41.300 --> 00:28:41.900
 edges.
560
00:28:43.400 --> 00:28:45.700
for accessee on Ferry Lane
```

```
561
00:28:47.400 --> 00:28:50.300
two-way movement of large Vehicles entering and leaving access.
562
00:28:50.300 --> 00:28:53.200
He doesn't appear to be feasible on the
563
00:28:53.200 --> 00:28:56.500
 private track nor on secondham road due to
564
00:28:56.500 --> 00:28:59.400
 the restricted width of both. We understand
565
00:28:59.400 --> 00:29:02.500
from the applicant that the applicant considers that movement will
566
00:29:02.500 --> 00:29:05.300
 be controlled to prevent two large
567
00:29:05.300 --> 00:29:08.300
Vehicles meeting, but we don't see at the
568
00:29:08.300 --> 00:29:11.500
 moment detail as to how that would be operated in
569
00:29:11.500 --> 00:29:15.900
 practice access F
570
00:29:14.900 --> 00:29:17.500
and gee part
571
00:29:17.500 --> 00:29:20.200
 of that I think back road is in Cambridge year,
572
00:29:20.200 --> 00:29:24.000
but there is narrow width of the road traffic
573
00:29:23.500 --> 00:29:26.900
 signal heads with hgv straddling
574
00:29:26.900 --> 00:29:30.000
```

```
lanes and we consider again.
575
00:29:29.400 --> 00:29:33.400
There's not fishing information on visibility provided.
576
00:29:34.200 --> 00:29:37.800
Access I on Newmarket road. We remain concerned
577
00:29:37.800 --> 00:29:40.600
 about the safety particularly the
578
00:29:40.600 --> 00:29:43.300
 reduced visibility between the access and the all. This
579
00:29:43.300 --> 00:29:46.600
 is the point about the speed surveys we a welcome
580
00:29:46.600 --> 00:29:49.700
obviously the expectation that additional speed
581
00:29:49.700 --> 00:29:52.300
 surveys will be undertaken and obviously we'll
582
00:29:52.300 --> 00:29:55.300
 look at what that means in terms of if there
583
00:29:55.300 --> 00:29:58.400
 is a Justified basis for reducing the visibility display
584
00:29:58.400 --> 00:30:01.000
based on that speed survey information when it comes
585
00:30:01.400 --> 00:30:04.300
 forward and then access J Golf Links Road,
586
00:30:04.300 --> 00:30:08.100
no details of the junction have been
587
00:30:07.100 --> 00:30:10.300
 provided to assess layout and safety or
```

```
588
00:30:10.300 --> 00:30:14.500
 the level of traffic that would use it during the operational phase
which
589
00:30:13.500 --> 00:30:16.500
we consider is necessary information.
590
00:30:16.500 \longrightarrow 00:30:19.300
 So they're quite detailed points
591
00:30:19.300 --> 00:30:22.200
 that there are still matters where we are wanting
592
00:30:22.200 --> 00:30:24.300
 more information from the applicant.
593
00:30:25.800 --> 00:30:28.200
I'm cute, Mr. Mohamed. You have anything
594
00:30:28.200 --> 00:30:29.700
 you want to to add to that?
00:30:32.200 --> 00:30:35.600
As I also indicated to you, I will reduce this to writing but
596
00:30:35.600 --> 00:30:39.200
 I just thought I'd give you the headlines. Yes. Thank you. We
597
00:30:38.200 --> 00:30:41.800
have we still have some concerns around Seneca
598
00:30:41.800 --> 00:30:44.300
West site a access a unlock road, which
599
00:30:44.300 --> 00:30:47.500
we've discussed in some extent with the passing of hgv's.
600
00:30:48.200 --> 00:30:52.100
Seneca West site a access C more
```

```
00:30:51.100 --> 00:30:55.500
 details around the construction of the axis improvements needed
602
00:30:54.500 --> 00:30:56.700
 Sonic.
603
00:30:57.900 --> 00:31:00.400
East site a access F on
604
00:31:00.400 --> 00:31:03.800
 Beck Road Islam again just a bit more information needed
605
00:31:03.800 --> 00:31:05.400
there and then
606
00:31:06.300 --> 00:31:09.200
I don't know if people have mentioned the cable routes is also
another
607
00:31:09.200 --> 00:31:12.400
 area that we've been concerned around the cable route
608
00:31:12.400 --> 00:31:16.100
 access a near where's drove in Burwell cable
609
00:31:15.100 --> 00:31:18.400
 route access h and cable route access
610
00:31:18.400 --> 00:31:22.200
 J and cable route access K again.
611
00:31:21.200 --> 00:31:24.400
 For example access J.
612
00:31:24.400 --> 00:31:27.500
We very much welcome the widely the widening of the axis
613
00:31:27.500 --> 00:31:30.100
 at the a142 Ford and bypass but again, we need a bit
614
00:31:30.100 --> 00:31:30.700
```

```
more detail.
615
00:31:31.500 --> 00:31:34.400
So you will reduce all this to writing. It's either
00:31:34.400 --> 00:31:37.500
to do with more detailed at the construction of
617
00:31:37.500 --> 00:31:40.500
 the access and improvements needed or it's about the visibility
618
00:31:40.500 --> 00:31:43.400
 displays which either way, you know, we just
619
00:31:43.400 --> 00:31:46.300
 need more information more clarification. I will reduce that
620
00:31:46.300 --> 00:31:49.000
to writing in our post submission hearing.
621
00:31:49.600 --> 00:31:52.300
Right. So between now and deadlines 7.
622
00:31:52.300 --> 00:31:55.400
Are you able to have offline? I'm certainly I've got
623
00:31:55.400 --> 00:31:58.400
 them. All right down here. Right? We might actually send
624
00:31:58.400 --> 00:32:02.300
 that along with Miley our questions around trees in
625
00:32:02.300 --> 00:32:03.600
 the similar fashion, potentially.
626
00:32:04.900 --> 00:32:07.300
Oh, I'm told actually that they will have had
627
00:32:07.300 --> 00:32:11.400
 some some of this material already but certainly mums.
```

```
628
00:32:10.400 --> 00:32:13.000
So we will we'll share as much
629
00:32:13.100 --> 00:32:16.000
as we can. Yeah, well, obviously the fact that we're all here can
facilitate that
630
00:32:16.300 --> 00:32:20.100
 and that will be very helpful. Thanks very much. So,
631
00:32:19.100 --> 00:32:22.300
 I think that's all I wanted to cover.
632
00:32:23.500 --> 00:32:26.200
In respect to site accesses, Mr. Steal, you have
633
00:32:26.200 --> 00:32:30.200
 something English to us. Thank you. So there are a
634
00:32:29.200 --> 00:32:32.200
 number of points which arise as a result
635
00:32:32.200 --> 00:32:35.500
of that very helpful information from his
636
00:32:35.500 --> 00:32:38.000
 attorney because we were aware of that just that one.
637
00:32:39.400 --> 00:32:41.200
respect of that one plate
638
00:32:42.200 --> 00:32:44.700
So the position is this as we understand it.
639
00:32:45.800 --> 00:32:49.400
There has been no assessment in the transporter
640
00:32:48.400 --> 00:32:51.900
 assessment of that access
```

```
00:32:51.900 --> 00:32:52.300
 В.
642
00:32:53.300 --> 00:32:54.000
No assessment.
643
00:32:55.100 --> 00:32:58.100
It goes through snail. Well, which is a small village which you're
644
00:32:59.100 --> 00:33:00.900
Familiar with yeah, there are two.
645
00:33:01.600 --> 00:33:04.300
Studs there right and it was
646
00:33:04.300 --> 00:33:08.200
 raised just so you know, this isn't a new point on
647
00:33:07.200 --> 00:33:10.600
 the 11th of November when we put
00:33:10.600 --> 00:33:13.700
 in. Yeah, the Reps the reference is
649
00:33:13.700 --> 00:33:17.300
 rep to hyphen 240, right paragraph
650
00:33:16.300 --> 00:33:20.400
 18.1.34 and
651
00:33:19.400 --> 00:33:21.400
 three six.
652
00:33:22.400 --> 00:33:25.600
And it's PDF page 135 of 209
653
00:33:25.600 --> 00:33:26.900
 just so that's on the record.
654
00:33:27.900 --> 00:33:30.500
And so I should have said this is John steel king. That's
```

```
655
00:33:30.500 --> 00:33:33.500
 that's fine. Thank you very much. Just go on.
656
00:33:33.500 --> 00:33:36.800
 I'm Fred. I haven't finished and right this might be rather detail,
657
00:33:36.800 --> 00:33:37.900
but it's quite important.
658
00:33:39.500 --> 00:33:42.700
Lead transport assessment has assessed site
659
00:33:42.700 --> 00:33:45.900
 a with respect to Hague Road the Hay
660
00:33:45.900 --> 00:33:49.600
 Road. I make them and the plate which
661
00:33:49.600 --> 00:33:53.200
 is the one which was very helpfully referred
662
00:33:52.200 --> 00:33:55.700
to by Mr. Turney has got
663
00:33:55.700 --> 00:33:59.100
 the movements on it of both accesses
664
00:33:58.100 --> 00:34:02.400
to Seneca. West's a
665
00:34:01.400 --> 00:34:05.500
 and the lahog
666
00:34:04.500 --> 00:34:07.700
 road one maximum. There
667
00:34:07.700 --> 00:34:11.200
 seems to be 28 Vehicles access
```

```
00:34:10.200 --> 00:34:13.800
 a 28 hgv's single Direction.
669
00:34:15.300 --> 00:34:18.400
And the excess B is 20.
670
00:34:19.300 --> 00:34:19.600
671
00:34:20.500 --> 00:34:23.000
there is not a one could say there is a
672
00:34:23.400 --> 00:34:26.100
 material because eight have but there's normally is about the same
673
00:34:26.100 --> 00:34:26.800
 sort of order.
674
00:34:28.300 --> 00:34:31.600
No assessment of that in the terror in
675
00:34:31.600 --> 00:34:32.700
 the transport assessment.
676
00:34:33.700 --> 00:34:35.000
a number of other points
677
00:34:35.800 --> 00:34:37.000
there is a
678
00:34:38.100 --> 00:34:41.500
bridge there is your where no with a
679
00:34:41.500 --> 00:34:42.200
weight limit on it and
680
00:34:43.100 --> 00:34:46.100
We understand it seven point five tonnes, but you know,
681
00:34:46.100 --> 00:34:49.100
 there we go. Does that affect things with no assessments? We don't
```

```
682
00:34:49.100 --> 00:34:49.300
 know.
683
00:34:50.400 --> 00:34:53.300
It is also used as we've said in the
684
00:34:53.300 \longrightarrow 00:34:56.300
 equestrian documentation. I haven't got
685
00:34:56.300 --> 00:34:59.400
all that to hand at the moment. But that was also
686
00:34:59.400 --> 00:35:02.200
 said this is used by equestrians and it's
687
00:35:02.200 --> 00:35:03.800
all so used by cyclists.
688
00:35:04.500 --> 00:35:07.200
And it is not a road where
689
00:35:07.200 --> 00:35:08.900
you can see over the top of the hunt Bridge.
690
00:35:10.200 --> 00:35:13.900
It is also the case that
691
00:35:13.900 --> 00:35:16.200
 it goes through a village. Now. One of
692
00:35:16.200 --> 00:35:19.400
 the things in the TA that is stated and this
693
00:35:19.400 --> 00:35:22.900
 is a pp-117 at page
694
00:35:22.900 --> 00:35:26.000
 111 of 249. You got
695
```

```
00:35:25.200 --> 00:35:29.400
 it. I will sing paragraph 7.5 that it
696
00:35:28.400 --> 00:35:32.200
 is the intention of the applicants to
697
00:35:31.200 --> 00:35:34.600
 minimize the number of vehicles through villages.
698
00:35:35.500 --> 00:35:36.200
now
699
00:35:37.600 --> 00:35:40.400
we don't therefore have any answer
700
00:35:40.400 --> 00:35:41.700
 to the points raised in November.
701
00:35:43.300 --> 00:35:46.400
About our points that we don't have the information and
702
00:35:46.400 --> 00:35:49.400
 it's not suitable to go through snail. Well the other
703
00:35:49.400 --> 00:35:51.500
 point which may be of interest to you.
704
00:35:53.100 --> 00:35:56.600
Is that on plate two which is shown
705
00:35:56.600 --> 00:35:59.800
 and also on the documentation
706
00:35:59.800 --> 00:36:04.200
which is before the inquiry The Works
707
00:36:03.200 --> 00:36:06.700
 of Works orders
708
00:36:06.700 --> 00:36:10.400
 are wo3 and wo4 to
```

```
709
00:36:09.400 --> 00:36:12.400
 the west side of the
710
00:36:12.400 --> 00:36:14.600
 access to Chippenham Park.
711
00:36:16.100 \longrightarrow 00:36:19.300
Now we've heard a lot about this access in
712
00:36:19.300 --> 00:36:20.600
historic.
713
00:36:21.300 --> 00:36:22.400
interest terms
714
00:36:23.200 --> 00:36:26.500
and also the point about tunneling underneath and so
715
00:36:26.500 --> 00:36:29.300
 on so forth and with the point concerning that
716
00:36:29.300 --> 00:36:32.800
 but as shown on the plate
717
00:36:32.800 --> 00:36:35.700
 of internal roads and things there's an access across it is
718
00:36:35.700 --> 00:36:38.100
 that for hgvs if it's for hgvs because
719
00:36:38.100 --> 00:36:41.900
we got a holy different approach to these matters potentially.
720
00:36:43.100 --> 00:36:46.300
Don't know because of the information is not there if it's
721
00:36:46.300 --> 00:36:49.300
 going to be for all of that, which
```

```
00:36:49.300 --> 00:36:52.000
 is to the west of the access and there isn't going to
723
00:36:52.300 --> 00:36:55.300
be an axis across that doesn't seem to Accord with
724
00:36:55.300 --> 00:36:58.600
the information before being before the examination moment.
725
00:36:59.800 --> 00:37:02.900
And if it is going to be kept to the West where's it
726
00:37:02.900 --> 00:37:06.300
 say that it is going to capture the West in terms of some form
727
00:37:05.300 --> 00:37:08.600
 of statement that we're going
728
00:37:08.600 --> 00:37:12.700
to have a situation where all the wa3 and
00:37:12.700 --> 00:37:13.500
wo4.
730
00:37:14.600 --> 00:37:16.000
construction works
731
00:37:16.800 --> 00:37:19.100
are going to be accessed through snail oil and then we
732
00:37:19.100 \longrightarrow 00:37:23.300
 get round into great big circles and say what's the information
concerning that so there
733
00:37:22.300 --> 00:37:24.400
are a number of issues.
734
00:37:25.500 --> 00:37:28.200
That we raised in November concerning the
735
00:37:28.200 --> 00:37:28.700
```

```
access.
736
00:37:29.600 --> 00:37:32.300
It may be changed as far as
737
00:37:32.300 --> 00:37:35.300
 this is concerned because it is take not the same
738
00:37:35.300 --> 00:37:39.200
 access is going to be used for Seneca West.
739
00:37:40.200 --> 00:37:43.500
Of B, but there's also the construction
740
00:37:43.500 --> 00:37:46.500
 of the of the
741
00:37:46.500 --> 00:37:49.200
 cable the point Mr. Mohammad's raised as well.
742
00:37:50.200 --> 00:37:53.800
And we have an information about that.
743
00:37:53.800 --> 00:37:56.300
So there isn't information that we
744
00:37:56.300 --> 00:38:00.000
 raised a long time ago about matters, which
745
00:37:59.200 --> 00:38:03.000
 do concern local people. But all
746
00:38:02.400 --> 00:38:05.600
 so have the historic interest into it
747
00:38:05.600 --> 00:38:08.100
 interest point in the impact,
748
00:38:08.100 --> 00:38:12.200
which we have rest on numerous occasions, and that's the direct
impact.
```

```
749
00:38:13.200 --> 00:38:15.800
on the historic
750
00:38:16.700 --> 00:38:19.300
Of the historic and
751
00:38:19.300 \longrightarrow 00:38:23.500
 registered Park land RPG and
752
00:38:22.500 --> 00:38:25.700
 God. So in relation to Highway matters,
753
00:38:25.700 --> 00:38:28.600
 your point is my point
754
00:38:28.600 --> 00:38:31.600
 is it's not assessed and it isn't assessing in
755
00:38:31.600 --> 00:38:35.500
 historic gun because what we're dealing here with is you
756
00:38:34.500 --> 00:38:37.300
 dealt with seat site accesses and
757
00:38:37.300 --> 00:38:40.600
 you're also concerned with impact upon highways. Yes. Yes,
758
00:38:40.600 --> 00:38:43.300
he goes a little bit further than that because the only
759
00:38:43.300 --> 00:38:47.000
 place we get the hgv information and construction
760
00:38:46.400 --> 00:38:49.600
 information is in the TA and
761
00:38:49.600 --> 00:38:52.100
 in the related highway information as we've got
762
```

```
00:38:52.100 --> 00:38:55.600
 to wait till now to raise the point again, and what
763
00:38:55.600 --> 00:38:58.100
we don't have is the information about what
764
00:38:58.100 --> 00:39:02.000
the impact is and because we don't have the information concerning
765
00:39:01.200 --> 00:39:04.100
the hgvs and how they get in.
766
00:39:04.100 --> 00:39:07.600
That's what help point about the access other than this point
767
00:39:07.600 --> 00:39:10.700
 about 20 here, which is that one diagram and
768
00:39:10.700 --> 00:39:13.900
very helpfully that's been considered by
769
00:39:13.900 --> 00:39:16.100
Mr. Tony and his those who
770
00:39:17.400 --> 00:39:20.300
But it doesn't tell us what the impact is
771
00:39:20.300 --> 00:39:23.900
 on another matter of material interest
772
00:39:23.900 \longrightarrow 00:39:26.600
 and that's the historic. Yeah. Oh God. You
773
00:39:26.600 --> 00:39:29.300
 see the point there's so you point is
774
00:39:29.300 --> 00:39:29.400
 about
775
00:39:30.200 --> 00:39:33.500
Size that access B is not been assessed. So yes,
```

```
776
00:39:33.500 --> 00:39:36.300
but the impact of understand the knock
777
00:39:36.300 --> 00:39:39.400
 on impacts. I'm just trying to to find myself to
778
00:39:39.400 \longrightarrow 00:39:41.900
 Highway matters be snail well.
779
00:39:42.800 --> 00:39:43.500
historic
780
00:39:44.600 --> 00:39:47.300
interest and also whether they're
781
00:39:47.300 --> 00:39:48.600
 is in the order.
782
00:39:49.600 --> 00:39:52.200
Any management of that because we have not
783
00:39:52.200 --> 00:39:54.200
found it. Thank you if I could just
784
00:39:56.200 --> 00:39:59.100
Put that that's a bridge attorney for the app. Can
785
00:39:59.100 --> 00:40:03.200
I'm just gonna quickly pick up on what Mr.
786
00:40:02.200 --> 00:40:05.200
 Stealer said, and then I'll hand over to Mr.
787
00:40:05.200 --> 00:40:11.100
Carter for to deal with what Mr. Bedford said. We'll pick
788
00:40:11.100 --> 00:40:14.600
 this up. I think again in our written submissions just on where you
find the references to
```

```
789
00:40:14.600 --> 00:40:16.100
this access, but just to be clear
790
00:40:17.200 --> 00:40:18.500
our understanding
00:40:19.800 --> 00:40:22.500
if Mississippi was talking about the Railway Bridge.
792
00:40:23.300 --> 00:40:26.600
Our understanding is that the weight constraint
793
00:40:26.600 --> 00:40:26.800
 is?
794
00:40:28.300 --> 00:40:28.600
Sorry.
795
00:40:32.200 --> 00:40:35.200
Our understanding is if he's talking about the Railway Bridge on
Short
796
00:40:35.200 --> 00:40:37.800
 Road. Our understanding is the weight limit is 44 tons.
797
00:40:39.300 --> 00:40:42.600
So, I don't know which Bridges talking about. Well, is it is it
798
00:40:42.600 --> 00:40:45.500
the sign that my instructions look if we
799
00:40:45.500 --> 00:40:49.000
 just take this matter offline that's just
800
00:40:48.600 --> 00:40:51.200
 clarified say them he wants to know the weight limit
801
00:40:51.200 --> 00:40:54.200
 on the on the Railway Bridge right? It's good. He's got a we
looking
```

```
802
00:40:54.200 --> 00:40:57.400
 at sign on Google Maps. It says 7.5. It may be wrong. Okay. There's
803
00:40:57.400 --> 00:40:58.400
 a great big sign. They're saying that
804
00:40:59.400 --> 00:41:02.800
Is that okay if we can sorry can
805
00:41:02.800 --> 00:41:04.200
 I yeah, Mr. Carter.
806
00:41:05.200 --> 00:41:08.700
Mr. Scott for the applicant. Yeah, there is 7.5 ton weight limit,
807
00:41:08.700 --> 00:41:11.800
 but that is in on Fordham Road in stalewell. Yes
808
00:41:11.800 --> 00:41:15.000
 adjacent to the where the adjacent
809
00:41:14.200 --> 00:41:18.200
 to the access that was removed at the last. Yeah, the
810
00:41:17.200 --> 00:41:20.700
 last strange request. She's in this location.
811
00:41:20.700 --> 00:41:23.300
 That's the deal is preparing here. If you
812
00:41:23.300 --> 00:41:26.000
 could if you could chuck clarify that offline, I think we'll deal
with that. Very
813
00:41:26.300 --> 00:41:30.000
 true. We say that whole section was talking
814
00:41:29.100 --> 00:41:33.300
 about the wrong access. We're talking about Seneca West
815
```

```
00:41:33.300 --> 00:41:36.200
 Side a access B. It's from Chippenham Road
816
00:41:36.200 --> 00:41:39.200
the route to it is my short road it goes into
817
00:41:39.200 --> 00:41:42.300
I think it goes into a bit of snail well, but it doesn't go through
the
818
00:41:42.300 --> 00:41:46.800
 street in snail world without the main road and the we've
819
00:41:46.800 --> 00:41:49.700
 got we've already given you the figures. The other
820
00:41:49.700 --> 00:41:52.400
 point is about the crossing of the I think
821
00:41:52.400 --> 00:41:55.400
was about the crossing of the Avenue in the RPG, which was
822
00:41:55.400 --> 00:41:58.600
 the subject of the exchanges we had this morning and we're
823
00:41:58.600 --> 00:42:01.100
 going to provide that yes, that's drawing of the access
824
00:42:01.100 --> 00:42:04.000
 route. So I won't repeat that our last Mr. Carter just to come
825
00:42:04.100 --> 00:42:04.400
 back on.
826
00:42:05.200 --> 00:42:06.400
Bradford's list of points I think
827
00:42:07.400 --> 00:42:08.200
thank you. Yes.
828
00:42:10.300 --> 00:42:13.500
```

```
Okay, Mr. Carter for the applicant and I'd like to thank Mr. Bedford
829
00:42:13.500 --> 00:42:16.300
 for his helpful summary of the and Mr.
00:42:16.300 --> 00:42:19.900
Mohammed as as well. So the the points
831
00:42:19.900 --> 00:42:22.800
 of detail that they raised are the math
832
00:42:22.800 --> 00:42:25.100
 subjects of conversations that we're having
833
00:42:25.100 --> 00:42:28.100
with the relevant officers in the authority and we are
834
00:42:28.100 --> 00:42:31.200
 seeking to address those points in the next rat in
835
00:42:31.200 --> 00:42:35.500
 the next submission and a few kind of specific clarifications.
836
00:42:34.500 --> 00:42:37.500
And at the
837
00:42:37.500 --> 00:42:40.700
 request of Suffolk. We are we are
838
00:42:40.700 --> 00:42:43.300
 preparing kind of feasibility kind of
839
00:42:43.300 --> 00:42:47.100
 concept layouts effectively. They would not enter the order but
840
00:42:46.100 --> 00:42:49.800
 effectively showing how the TTM measures
841
00:42:49.800 --> 00:42:52.500
would actually be delivered in the in the
```

```
842
00:42:52.500 --> 00:42:55.800
 point. So that's the order itself will simply say
843
00:42:55.800 --> 00:42:58.300
 the traffic management has to be in this area
00:42:58.300 --> 00:43:02.000
 and specify it. But this show it will give confidence that the
845
00:43:01.900 --> 00:43:03.500
 measures are deliverable.
846
00:43:04.200 --> 00:43:07.700
And I think there's again the
847
00:43:07.700 --> 00:43:10.100
 majority of these points are are things are
848
00:43:10.100 --> 00:43:13.700
 being addressed but I would happily go
849
00:43:13.700 --> 00:43:16.500
 into into detail if needed but I'm not really help is
850
00:43:16.500 --> 00:43:19.700
to know that you're going to be talking about things before
00:43:19.700 --> 00:43:22.500
 deadlines seven. Absolutely you
852
00:43:22.500 --> 00:43:24.100
 each put your submissions in they'll
853
00:43:24.900 --> 00:43:27.700
Stack Up absolutely and I think
854
00:43:27.700 --> 00:43:30.300
 the suffer from Cambridge Charlotte colleagues
855
00:43:30.300 --> 00:43:33.500
```

```
for a helpful meeting last week as well where we've moved quite
856
00:43:33.500 --> 00:43:36.900
 a few of these things things forward appreciating not
00:43:36.900 --> 00:43:39.500
 all of them and that details remain to
858
00:43:39.500 --> 00:43:41.200
be agreed in in areas.
859
00:43:42.300 --> 00:43:45.100
Thanks very much. So I didn't have an opportunity to come
860
00:43:45.100 --> 00:43:48.300
back on the point. If I may just know I think we ought to move on
861
00:43:48.300 --> 00:43:51.400
 say your concern about in particular
862
00:43:51.400 --> 00:43:54.400
 about the length of time that you say you've
863
00:43:54.400 --> 00:43:57.900
been waiting for the information. So the
864
00:43:57.900 --> 00:43:59.400
 situation is obviously not.
865
00:44:01.500 \longrightarrow 00:44:04.800
on all falls with position of any other parties in
866
00:44:04.800 --> 00:44:09.200
 in the room necessarily having
867
00:44:07.200 --> 00:44:09.800
 said that
868
00:44:11.100 --> 00:44:14.300
I've heard and seen the way Mr. Mohammed has dealt with the issues
```

```
that he
869
00:44:14.300 --> 00:44:17.400
 has I think these issues that
870
00:44:17.400 --> 00:44:20.900
you've raised have been given a reasonable airing so
871
00:44:20.900 --> 00:44:23.700
 far and you know, I'm comforted
872
00:44:23.700 --> 00:44:27.100
by the fact that there will be an online an offline
873
00:44:26.100 --> 00:44:29.200
 discussion. So thank you very much. I've just
874
00:44:29.200 --> 00:44:32.200
 going to say this. So can we move on please miss you Rigby?
875
00:44:32.200 --> 00:44:35.100
Yes, if we can move on. I think I've done everything I want to
876
00:44:35.100 --> 00:44:39.200
 do on site accesses. There's various things that can continue
877
00:44:38.200 --> 00:44:41.300
 offline as it work because we're all
878
00:44:41.300 --> 00:44:45.300
here together as a proper hearing just moving
879
00:44:44.300 --> 00:44:47.900
 swiftly on traffic management and regulation
880
00:44:47.900 --> 00:44:50.700
which I think will sweep up well to concerns
881
00:44:50.700 --> 00:44:52.000
 of Mr. Bedford's
```

```
882
00:44:53.300 --> 00:44:56.800
So to the local Highway authorities to
883
00:44:56.800 --> 00:44:59.500
 start with just a
00:44:59.500 --> 00:45:02.000
 quick one, really or you content with the
885
00:45:02.600 --> 00:45:04.100
 revised drafting in article 44.
886
00:45:05.200 --> 00:45:09.200
And if not, what would you like to see included? And if
887
00:45:08.200 --> 00:45:11.600
 you prefer to make a more detailed
888
00:45:11.600 --> 00:45:14.400
 submission in the dco part
889
00:45:14.400 --> 00:45:14.600
 of the
890
00:45:15.500 --> 00:45:16.200
Hearing then.
00:45:17.200 --> 00:45:20.400
That's fine, but I thought I'd introduce it now because
892
00:45:20.400 --> 00:45:23.400
 it ties in directly to traffic matters.
893
00:45:26.600 --> 00:45:29.400
So certainly on our part. I think tomorrow might be
894
00:45:29.400 --> 00:45:32.300
 better Mr. Mohammed on behalf of the County
895
00:45:32.300 --> 00:45:35.100
```

```
Council here. I think certainly for us it'd be
896
00:45:35.100 --> 00:45:38.600
 easier and more efficient to do it tomorrow as part of the dco
00:45:38.600 --> 00:45:38.900
 discussion.
898
00:45:41.800 --> 00:45:44.200
So yes, I think I think we welcome the fact
899
00:45:44.200 --> 00:45:48.000
 that article 44 has been improved and I think the point that we've
900
00:45:47.100 --> 00:45:52.400
 got in the notes are very detailed
901
00:45:50.400 --> 00:45:53.400
points on drafting which
902
00:45:53.400 --> 00:45:56.100
would probably not useful this afternoon. But if we
903
00:45:56.100 --> 00:45:59.300
need to come back to you, then we'll do that tomorrow if I'm happy
00:45:59.300 --> 00:46:03.000
to take it tomorrow. Yeah, that's fine
905
00:46:02.300 --> 00:46:05.300
 that makes that makes sense. I was just I just thought I've
906
00:46:05.300 --> 00:46:09.600
 opened it up now in case there was an easy. Yes. No answer any
907
00:46:08.600 --> 00:46:11.400
 crucial points of principle. Thank
908
00:46:11.400 --> 00:46:11.500
you.
```

```
909
00:46:12.600 --> 00:46:12.600
910
00:46:14.600 --> 00:46:17.400
So next on to the applicant.
911
00:46:19.400 --> 00:46:20.700
If you could specify.
912
00:46:22.300 --> 00:46:25.800
Those locations for which you will provide temporary traffic
913
00:46:25.800 --> 00:46:28.300
 management layouts. That's to say the ones
914
00:46:28.300 --> 00:46:31.400
 for construction. It made time to things you've
915
00:46:31.400 --> 00:46:32.000
 already told us.
916
00:46:34.100 --> 00:46:37.100
Would it be would it time with the the accesses for which you're
going
917
00:46:37.100 --> 00:46:38.900
to do safety audits for instance?
918
00:46:39.900 --> 00:46:40.100
it
919
00:46:41.600 --> 00:46:45.000
so I missed a Carter for the applicant and it ties
920
00:46:44.200 --> 00:46:47.500
 into the the access locations where
921
00:46:47.500 --> 00:46:50.400
 specific concerns have been raised by Cambria and
922
```

```
00:46:50.400 --> 00:46:51.300
 Suffolk. Yeah.
923
00:46:52.100 --> 00:46:55.800
So the common you made previously about the the road safety
924
00:46:55.800 --> 00:46:58.100
Audits and being independent that would obtain in
925
00:46:58.100 --> 00:47:01.300
 this case as well. You'll get confirmation that things that
926
00:47:02.200 --> 00:47:05.200
Are considered to be important will be raised by the order. So
anyway.
927
00:47:06.400 --> 00:47:09.500
Yes, I mean they are and they are slightly different
928
00:47:09.500 --> 00:47:12.200
matters. And this is
929
00:47:12.200 --> 00:47:15.400
 the this effectively as demonstrating the
930
00:47:15.400 --> 00:47:19.900
 feasibility of introducing the generally
931
00:47:18.900 --> 00:47:21.100
 traffic signals and speed
932
00:47:21.100 --> 00:47:24.900
 limit reductions and for in specific locations where
933
00:47:24.900 --> 00:47:27.300
where questions have been raised about
934
00:47:27.300 --> 00:47:28.300
whether they're deliverable.
935
00:47:29.200 --> 00:47:33.100
```

```
And the road safety audits are specifically for the the
936
00:47:32.100 --> 00:47:36.300
 site accesses and the locations where the substations
937
00:47:35.300 --> 00:47:38.800
 are. Yeah brought in. Yeah. Thanks.
938
00:47:40.200 --> 00:47:44.500
So moving back to the Cambria and
939
00:47:44.500 --> 00:47:44.800
 Suffolk.
940
00:47:46.800 --> 00:47:49.200
I wondered if you had any comments to make on the
941
00:47:49.200 --> 00:47:52.400
 locations for which the applicant proposes to provide.
942
00:47:53.500 --> 00:47:55.000
temperature traffic management layouts
943
00:47:56.900 --> 00:47:57.700
Mr. Bedford
944
00:47:58.500 --> 00:48:02.200
So I think we welcome the suggestion
945
00:48:01.200 --> 00:48:06.500
 in a s325 so
946
00:48:05.500 --> 00:48:08.400
 that we think that those locations should
947
00:48:08.400 --> 00:48:11.300
 be the subject of assessment. But we also think that
948
00:48:11.300 --> 00:48:14.600
 access DH sorry can't
```

```
949
00:48:14.600 --> 00:48:17.600
hear you that clearly sorry. I apologize. We also think
950
00:48:17.600 --> 00:48:20.400
 that the commitment to look at traffic
00:48:20.400 --> 00:48:23.900
 management measured layouts in terms of feasibility should also
952
00:48:23.900 --> 00:48:26.300
 include accesses D and H
953
00:48:26.300 --> 00:48:29.800
 on New Market Road, Wellington. So I
954
00:48:29.800 --> 00:48:32.400
 mean, I think that's partly been picked up in some of the comments
already.
955
00:48:32.400 --> 00:48:35.400
 But so that in terms
00:48:35.400 --> 00:48:39.000
 of the list we would want to see that include. Thank you
957
00:48:38.900 --> 00:48:41.500
 summer. How much do you have anything to add?
958
00:48:42.900 --> 00:48:45.700
No at the moment, we don't thank you.
959
00:48:45.700 --> 00:48:48.700
 So to the applicant. Is that something
960
00:48:48.700 --> 00:48:51.000
 you're considering? Anyway, Mr. Cards for
961
00:48:51.100 --> 00:48:54.600
 the applicant. Yes, the act the places locations Mr.
962
```

```
00:48:54.600 --> 00:48:57.700
 Bedford mentions are going to be included in the championship
management
963
00:48:57.700 --> 00:48:58.000
plans.
964
00:48:59.900 --> 00:49:02.300
So thank you. So next on to the
965
00:49:03.200 --> 00:49:06.000
local Highway authorities Suffolk and Cambridgeshire.
966
00:49:06.800 --> 00:49:09.800
As distinct from the construction and decommissioning
967
00:49:09.800 --> 00:49:10.600
Arrangements.
968
00:49:11.900 --> 00:49:14.600
Are you satisfied with permanent Arrangements
969
00:49:14.600 --> 00:49:16.100
 during the operational phase?
970
00:49:19.200 --> 00:49:20.300
such as there may be
971
00:49:24.700 --> 00:49:27.000
I hesitate because I don't in terms of
972
00:49:27.200 --> 00:49:30.300
 that specific question. I don't have a clear enough note
973
00:49:30.300 --> 00:49:33.300
to give you a category answer so I would
974
00:49:33.300 --> 00:49:34.700
prefer if you don't mind to.
975
00:49:35.700 --> 00:49:38.600
```

```
Take a note of that and we will respond in our post hearing
976
00:49:38.600 --> 00:49:41.500
 submission. Thanks, and that busy moving part of continuing
977
00:49:41.500 --> 00:49:44.500
 discussions between now and deadline 7. Anyway, yes, thank
978
00:49:44.500 --> 00:49:47.800
 you. And Mr. Hamid you I'm told
979
00:49:47.800 --> 00:49:51.300
 that we're not happy with them, but I don't know why so
980
00:49:50.300 --> 00:49:53.200
 that's something that we're gonna have
981
00:49:53.200 --> 00:49:56.200
to take away and come back to you on mmm. Yes. I can
982
00:49:56.200 --> 00:50:00.100
 understand that because there'll be some residual issues want
983
00:49:59.100 --> 00:50:02.000
 to shoot once once construction and ceased.
00:50:02.600 --> 00:50:06.000
but if you could do the same if you keep things going outside this
985
00:50:05.300 --> 00:50:06.400
 room and
986
00:50:08.300 --> 00:50:11.100
Let us know deadline seven. So we've got a reason to clear picture
of.
987
00:50:11.900 --> 00:50:14.200
Where we are with all that so A Bridge
988
00:50:14.200 --> 00:50:17.200
 ten for the upcoming, but it helps you just explain our position on
```

```
989
00:50:17.200 --> 00:50:19.000
 the permanent Arrangements. Yes.
990
00:50:19.900 --> 00:50:20.400
Let's do that.
991
00:50:22.800 \longrightarrow 00:50:25.700
Yes, Mr. Carter for the applicant and
992
00:50:25.700 --> 00:50:27.400
we we know
993
00:50:28.400 --> 00:50:31.300
your questions at examination your first
994
00:50:31.300 --> 00:50:34.500
 set of questions which ask for clarification on
995
00:50:34.500 --> 00:50:37.700
 some of the some of the existing uses
00:50:37.700 --> 00:50:41.000
 and what would and what would happen in the future and as
997
00:50:40.100 --> 00:50:43.700
 part of our response to that we are preparing an
998
00:50:43.700 --> 00:50:47.600
access by access table setting out how the
999
00:50:47.600 --> 00:50:50.300
 accesses are used at the moment and what would happen in
1000
00:50:50.300 --> 00:50:53.400
 the in the future within the
1001
00:50:53.400 --> 00:50:56.900
 construction the operational and decommissioning phases and
1002
```

```
00:50:56.900 --> 00:50:59.100
 I think there are there are some
1003
00:50:59.100 --> 00:51:02.600
 there are some important points and I think that
1004
00:51:03.700 --> 00:51:07.400
progress has been made in a number of areas and but
1005
00:51:06.400 --> 00:51:09.600
 I do appreciate that certainly from
1006
00:51:09.600 --> 00:51:12.200
the deadline six responses. The matter
1007
00:51:12.200 --> 00:51:15.000
 is not resolved. So
1008
00:51:15.900 --> 00:51:18.500
Effectively the for the
1009
00:51:18.500 --> 00:51:19.500
for the operational phase.
1010
00:51:20.400 --> 00:51:23.100
The point is to is that if there is
1011
00:51:23.100 --> 00:51:26.400
no intensification of an access and we
1012
00:51:26.400 \longrightarrow 00:51:30.300
 need to we appreciate the need for us to demonstrate and
1013
00:51:29.300 --> 00:51:32.400
how we would control that there
1014
00:51:32.400 --> 00:51:35.900
would be no intense vacation. Yeah that effectively they
1015
00:51:35.900 --> 00:51:38.400
 these are established Farm accesses and they
```

```
1016
00:51:38.400 --> 00:51:41.700
would and they would continue. Yeah in that in
1017
00:51:41.700 --> 00:51:44.500
that use and so the definition of
1018
00:51:44.500 \longrightarrow 00:51:47.900
maintain is included within the dco. I'm
1019
00:51:47.900 --> 00:51:50.500
sure they'll be conversations on that at some point and
1020
00:51:51.800 --> 00:51:54.100
and Article 5 sets out that
1021
00:51:54.100 --> 00:51:57.200
carrying out Works, which would result in materially different
effects would not
1022
00:51:57.200 --> 00:52:00.700
be authorized. So Rhys in recent
1023
00:52:00.700 --> 00:52:04.100
submissions. The applicant has effectively committed to
00:52:04.100 --> 00:52:07.100
providing an annual schedule of of what it
1025
00:52:07.100 --> 00:52:10.800
would consider to be. Yeah planned main surgery if there's anything
1026
00:52:10.800 --> 00:52:13.300
unplanned or anything like whopping then
1027
00:52:13.300 --> 00:52:16.300
just some notice. Well, it's gonna happen well,
1028
00:52:17.600 --> 00:52:20.100
Anything big anything so much all out of
```

```
1029
00:52:20.100 --> 00:52:23.500
the so typically typically during the construction phase is
1030
00:52:23.500 --> 00:52:26.900
 the cable accesses themselves would
1031
00:52:26.900 --> 00:52:29.800
 not be used by Sonica and during
1032
00:52:29.800 --> 00:52:32.600
 the construction phase and with the exception of
1033
00:52:32.600 --> 00:52:35.300
 ever thought was identified and they
1034
00:52:35.300 --> 00:52:38.200
needed to go in and join the operational phase during operation.
Yes,
1035
00:52:38.200 --> 00:52:41.600
 and then those thoughts can be can be identified remotely
1036
00:52:41.600 --> 00:52:45.000
 and or if so desired by the farmer a
1037
00:52:44.500 --> 00:52:47.900
more landowner and a representative
1038
00:52:47.900 --> 00:52:50.200
 of summit Suffolk of Seneca could
1039
00:52:50.200 --> 00:52:53.600
walk. Yeah with them, but ultimately it's there
1040
00:52:53.600 --> 00:52:56.800
wouldn't be a regular need to go in and inspect the
1041
00:52:56.800 --> 00:52:58.100
 cable routes and
1042
```

```
00:52:59.500 --> 00:53:02.200
so there wouldn't be any requirement for hgvs to
1043
00:53:02.200 --> 00:53:06.100
 access in those locations either and ever
1044
00:53:05.100 --> 00:53:08.400
 thought was identified then we would need
1045
00:53:08.400 --> 00:53:08.600
to
1046
00:53:09.900 --> 00:53:12.400
We we would effectively need to go back to
1047
00:53:12.400 --> 00:53:15.200
 the highway authorities agree the
1048
00:53:15.200 --> 00:53:18.500
 form of access and our backstop is the
1049
00:53:18.500 --> 00:53:22.200
 vco allows us to powers to reinstate the
1050
00:53:21.200 --> 00:53:24.700
 temporary traffic management. That is within
1051
00:53:24.700 --> 00:53:27.200
 the yeah that that would be
1052
00:53:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:53:30.300
 necessary to make acceptable in the construction phase. So
1053
00:53:30.300 --> 00:53:33.800
 it's sort of you sort of put yourself back into construction mode
part
1054
00:53:33.800 --> 00:53:36.000
way through the operational phrase because you you need to
1055
00:53:36.400 --> 00:53:40.200
```

```
exactly sir, and I think
1056
00:53:40.200 --> 00:53:40.600
what we
1057
00:53:41.300 --> 00:53:44.500
As as evident from from your
1058
00:53:44.500 --> 00:53:47.200
 questions and from recent submissions is we need
1059
00:53:47.200 --> 00:53:50.200
 to be clearer on on the on the
1060
00:53:50.200 --> 00:53:53.300
 main site accesses and how those would would be used during the
1061
00:53:53.300 --> 00:53:56.300
 operational doing operational phase and we will set that out
1062
00:53:56.300 --> 00:53:59.100
to you. But the I think the key point is that
1063
00:54:01.400 --> 00:54:05.100
for the for accesses that are serving fields of
1064
00:54:04.100 --> 00:54:06.700
 solar panels and
1065
00:54:07.900 --> 00:54:10.600
the existing agricultural use is not
1066
00:54:10.600 --> 00:54:13.100
 going to be continued in at the level that it is.
1067
00:54:13.100 --> 00:54:16.500
They will be rights of access maintained for people who need
1068
00:54:16.500 --> 00:54:19.600
to access their properties, but this is a effectively it's
```

```
1069
00:54:19.600 --> 00:54:22.200
 a lower intensity use of land
1070
00:54:22.200 --> 00:54:25.900
 and so we will so we'll have 17 members
1071
00:54:25.900 --> 00:54:28.200
 of Staff as a maximum across the
1072
00:54:28.200 --> 00:54:32.200
 whole scheme per day during the operational things and the
1073
00:54:31.200 --> 00:54:34.600
 nehtv requirements
1074
00:54:34.600 --> 00:54:37.200
would be very very limited and it
1075
00:54:37.200 --> 00:54:40.100
would only be and that that would effectively be set out.
1076
00:54:41.200 --> 00:54:45.100
Within that Clause within the OM. Yeah to provide Daniel annual
1077
00:54:44.100 --> 00:54:49.100
 maintenance. Yeah. And as I said anything abnormal that
1078
00:54:48.100 --> 00:54:52.500
 results in something I'm in kind
1079
00:54:51.500 --> 00:54:54.900
 of a larger level of usage then
1080
00:54:54.900 --> 00:54:57.800
we'll then we would be bound to go back and
1081
00:54:57.800 --> 00:55:00.300
 agree that with the highway authorities and
1082
00:55:00.300 --> 00:55:03.700
```

```
if necessary reinstate the the
1083
00:55:03.700 --> 00:55:06.300
 access is yeah, and this will be more of the outline
00:55:06.300 --> 00:55:06.700
plans.
1085
00:55:07.500 --> 00:55:10.900
Sorry, this will be a moral Rover of the outline plans. It's
1086
00:55:10.900 --> 00:55:13.200
 a kind of an overlap between the construction and
1087
00:55:13.200 --> 00:55:14.500
the old lamp, isn't it?
1088
00:55:16.100 --> 00:55:20.700
It will be in the omsa and yeah, and I
1089
00:55:19.700 --> 00:55:22.200
think and also what?
1090
00:55:23.100 --> 00:55:26.500
In we are also seeking to make sure that they're the lahog
00:55:26.500 --> 00:55:29.900
 road and the Elms Road gonna main accesses are
1092
00:55:29.900 --> 00:55:32.200
 and have the visibility required to
1093
00:55:32.200 --> 00:55:35.700
be able to function for those and for that regular usage
1094
00:55:35.700 --> 00:55:36.700
 during the operational phase.
1095
00:55:38.300 --> 00:55:40.200
Thanks. That's very helpful.
```

```
1096
00:55:41.300 --> 00:55:43.200
Just the final.
1097
00:55:44.200 --> 00:55:46.700
question to the local Highway authorities on
00:55:47.600 --> 00:55:50.300
this item and I think it's one that Mr.
1099
00:55:50.300 --> 00:55:53.800
 Bedford and alluded too early. I think it's just
1100
00:55:53.800 --> 00:55:56.900
 to ask whether you're content with current proposals
1101
00:55:56.900 --> 00:55:59.400
 in respect of heavy Goods vehicle
1102
00:55:59.400 --> 00:56:02.600
 and staff vehicle staff vehicle controls.
1103
00:56:03.200 --> 00:56:06.500
That are currently proposed. I think I'm right
1104
00:56:06.500 --> 00:56:09.300
 thinking that that was covering the point you
00:56:09.300 --> 00:56:12.500
wanted to make Mr. Bedford if you'd like to go first. Thank you,
1106
00:56:12.500 --> 00:56:15.200
 sir. Yes. It's perhaps helpful just to refer
1107
00:56:15.200 --> 00:56:15.400
 to
1108
00:56:17.900 --> 00:56:21.300
As325 item
1109
00:56:20.300 --> 00:56:23.600
```

```
12 and we
1110
00:56:23.600 --> 00:56:26.600
welcome the applicant indicating an intention
00:56:26.600 --> 00:56:29.600
to provide a staff cap at
1112
00:56:29.600 --> 00:56:33.400
 1.5 vehicle occupancy equivalent and
1113
00:56:32.400 --> 00:56:36.000
 an hgv cap proposed based
1114
00:56:35.000 --> 00:56:37.800
 on accesses.
1115
00:56:38.700 --> 00:56:42.100
That we consider in relation
1116
00:56:41.100 --> 00:56:43.400
to the staff cap.
1117
00:56:44.100 --> 00:56:48.600
It's not just a question of having a an occupancy ratio. We
1118
00:56:47.600 --> 00:56:51.200
 consider that there needs also to
1119
00:56:50.200 --> 00:56:55.200
be a control on the numbers of
1120
00:56:53.200 --> 00:56:56.600
workers and
1121
00:56:56.600 --> 00:57:00.500
 the numbers of worker Vehicles so
1122
00:56:59.500 --> 00:57:02.400
 that we can be confident that
```

```
1123
00:57:02.400 --> 00:57:05.400
 the values that have been assessed in the environmental statement
1124
00:57:05.400 --> 00:57:08.600
 and the TA as a
1125
00:57:09.600 --> 00:57:12.300
input to that do remain within the
1126
00:57:12.300 --> 00:57:15.200
worst case as opposed to Simply Having a
1127
00:57:15.200 --> 00:57:18.600
 ratio, which doesn't then in itself
1128
00:57:18.600 --> 00:57:21.600
 provide any ceiling on the actual overall Quantum.
1129
00:57:21.600 --> 00:57:25.400
It's just a ratio of car occupancy.
1130
00:57:26.400 --> 00:57:29.400
So we do want to see more there has been dialogue I
1131
00:57:29.400 --> 00:57:32.600
 think as to what further controls the applicant is
1132
00:57:32.600 --> 00:57:35.600
 prepared to propose that we are say
1133
00:57:35.600 --> 00:57:38.500
 consider that in order to ensure both
1134
00:57:38.500 --> 00:57:38.900
 that the
1135
00:57:40.200 --> 00:57:43.600
Transport impacts remain within the scope of what's been assessed
1136
00:57:43.600 --> 00:57:46.400
```

```
but also more generally in order to ensure that
1137
00:57:46.400 --> 00:57:49.000
 so far as practicable. There is
00:57:49.100 --> 00:57:52.700
a a drive to minimize the need to travel in line
1139
00:57:52.700 --> 00:57:55.400
with national transport policy in
1140
00:57:55.400 --> 00:57:58.200
 particular in both en1. And in
1141
00:57:58.200 --> 00:58:00.800
the mpbf that more needs to be done.
1142
00:58:02.300 --> 00:58:02.300
Thank you.
1143
00:58:03.100 --> 00:58:06.500
So Richard attorney for the applicant just to confirm that it is a
vehicle number
1144
00:58:06.500 --> 00:58:10.400
that's proposed by right Gap so that I think
1145
00:58:11.100 --> 00:58:14.500
the issue that's being raised and the cap for hgvs
1146
00:58:14.500 \longrightarrow 00:58:17.500
will be a number of https as well. So I think that addresses the
point
1147
00:58:17.500 --> 00:58:20.500
but it's come it's coming as we've already indicated in
1148
00:58:20.500 --> 00:58:23.200
 the next iteration of the documents at deadline 7
1149
00:58:23.200 --> 00:58:25.500
```

```
the ctmp.
1150
00:58:26.100 --> 00:58:29.300
Sorry, I'm reminded the style vehicle cap is already there and the
00:58:29.300 --> 00:58:30.500
 HGB cap is coming.
1152
00:58:31.400 --> 00:58:34.200
Right, and that's where sorry that's in the LMP or
1153
00:58:34.200 --> 00:58:36.000
 the ctmp.
1154
00:58:37.300 --> 00:58:38.800
Ctmp. Thank you.
1155
00:58:39.900 --> 00:58:42.500
Does that address your
1156
00:58:42.500 --> 00:58:45.300
 query Mr. Effort? So we'll take that away and reflect
1157
00:58:45.300 --> 00:58:48.100
 on that and address it in our post hearing submissions if we think
that
1158
00:58:48.100 --> 00:58:51.400
we need say anything further. Thank you, Mr. Muhammad.
1159
00:58:58.300 \longrightarrow 00:59:01.500
Sorry, we don't have anything to add on that on this beyond what
1160
00:59:01.500 --> 00:59:02.300
Mr. Bedford has said.
1161
00:59:03.300 --> 00:59:03.700
Thank you very much.
1162
00:59:11.700 --> 00:59:15.300
Well, let's see. We've got some protective
```

```
1163
00:59:14.300 --> 00:59:17.500
Provisions inside agreements. Haven't
1164
00:59:17.500 --> 00:59:20.300
we to complete?
1165
00:59:21.400 --> 00:59:24.300
the this item I think basically
1166
00:59:26.900 --> 00:59:28.500
whether you let's check it out. I'm
1167
00:59:29.500 --> 00:59:33.800
well, it's it's five o'clock. I think
1168
00:59:33.800 --> 00:59:36.800
 the preference will be to to try and
1169
00:59:36.800 --> 00:59:39.700
 get it done us on the agenda if we
1170
00:59:39.700 --> 00:59:43.000
 can do it in the next sort of, you know, 15-20 minutes
1171
00:59:42.900 --> 00:59:45.200
 or so. Do you
1172
00:59:45.200 --> 00:59:45.700
think that's possible?
1173
00:59:47.300 --> 00:59:51.700
Richard attorney for the applicant said it depends what needs
1174
00:59:50.700 --> 00:59:53.400
 to be said on it in the sense that we
1175
00:59:53.400 --> 00:59:56.500
 can provide you with a factual update is to the position between
the parties.
```

```
1176
00:59:56.500 --> 00:59:59.200
 I think if we were to go through those documents it might take a
1177
00:59:59.200 --> 00:59:59.600
little bit longer.
1178
01:00:01.600 --> 01:00:04.500
I think I'm balanced side
1179
01:00:04.500 --> 01:00:07.900
being client to leave it over until tomorrow morning
1180
01:00:07.900 --> 01:00:10.400
because I think to
1181
01:00:10.400 --> 01:00:15.700
 an extent we might only be repeating matters to
1182
01:00:13.700 --> 01:00:16.600
 do with the drafting
1183
01:00:16.600 --> 01:00:19.200
 of the provisions. Really.
1184
01:00:20.300 --> 01:00:22.000
That's alright with everyone.
01:00:23.400 --> 01:00:23.700
0kay.
1186
01:00:25.100 --> 01:00:30.500
All right. Well in that case then so
1187
01:00:28.500 --> 01:00:31.500
Mr. Abu
1188
01:00:31.500 --> 01:00:33.900
 did you have any other final points? No, I think we have.
1189
01:00:35.400 --> 01:00:36.200
```

```
the submissions that
1190
01:00:37.400 --> 01:00:40.400
discussion about article 44 and be well held over
01:00:40.400 --> 01:00:40.800
till tomorrow.
1192
01:00:41.700 --> 01:00:46.200
Because that's another overlap area with the order. And so
1193
01:00:44.200 --> 01:00:47.200
 if we
1194
01:00:47.200 --> 01:00:50.700
 do likewise with protective provisions and side agreements
1195
01:00:50.700 --> 01:00:53.400
and respects of local Highway authorities.
1196
01:00:54.500 --> 01:00:54.800
then
1197
01:00:58.800 --> 01:01:02.000
I have nothing else to raise at this point on this
1198
01:01:01.200 --> 01:01:04.600
 agenda item. Okay. Thank you Mr. Rigby.
1199
01:01:04.600 --> 01:01:07.400
The the in terms of the action points. I've
1200
01:01:07.400 --> 01:01:10.700
 got very few the the first
1201
01:01:10.700 --> 01:01:13.700
 one right the potentially too,
1202
01:01:13.700 --> 01:01:14.000
 but but
```

```
1203
01:01:15.500 --> 01:01:18.500
The action point I have is that the applicant will
1204
01:01:18.500 --> 01:01:22.300
 produce the whole Year's report at deadline seven
1205
01:01:21.300 --> 01:01:25.000
 and we'll hopefully give
1206
01:01:24.400 --> 01:01:27.700
 an opportunity to the local Highway authorities to
1207
01:01:27.700 --> 01:01:31.800
have sight of that which might inform
1208
01:01:30.800 --> 01:01:33.200
 any comments that you have to
1209
01:01:33.200 --> 01:01:36.200
 make that deadlines 7 on that.
1210
01:01:38.600 --> 01:01:41.500
Okay, and and I just want to come back. I
1211
01:01:41.500 --> 01:01:44.400
 let me know shortly if there's anything
1212
01:01:44.400 --> 01:01:47.700
 else that should be noted. But the
1213
01:01:47.700 --> 01:01:51.300
 other point I wanted to come back to was
1214
01:01:50.300 --> 01:01:53.600
the 0 lamp which is
1215
01:01:53.600 --> 01:01:58.100
 going to be updated at deadlines 7 now the
1216
01:01:58.100 --> 01:01:59.300
```

```
0 lamp.
1217
01:02:00.300 --> 01:02:02.200
in relation to
1218
01:02:04.900 --> 01:02:08.400
and local roads says that the applicant
1219
01:02:07.400 --> 01:02:11.100
 will include minor stroke
1220
01:02:10.100 --> 01:02:13.400
 local roads used by the public as part
1221
01:02:13.400 --> 01:02:14.600
 of the network.
1222
01:02:16.600 --> 01:02:19.900
My question is how so my preliminary question
1223
01:02:19.900 --> 01:02:22.600
 is who in the applicant is actually going
1224
01:02:22.600 --> 01:02:25.400
 to do that. Would it be you Mr. Carter?
1225
01:02:34.100 --> 01:02:38.300
Origin of the applicant has been dealt with by the team who
1226
01:02:38.300 --> 01:02:41.300
 dealing with landscape impacts Mr. Rooney who
1227
01:02:41.300 --> 01:02:45.000
 you heard from and his colleagues because it's part of the it's
1228
01:02:44.200 --> 01:02:47.900
where we show illustratively the rights way
1229
01:02:47.900 --> 01:02:48.200
Network.
```

```
1230
01:02:49.400 --> 01:02:52.400
So who's gonna be responsible for providing that information? You
1231
01:02:52.400 --> 01:02:54.000
 think it's going to be Mr. Rooney?
01:02:56.500 --> 01:02:56.800
Well, it's
1233
01:02:58.300 --> 01:03:02.000
it's the applicant generally there but I don't
1234
01:03:01.100 --> 01:03:04.000
 know who precisely will be
1235
01:03:04.100 --> 01:03:08.000
 doing. Those that those plans right? Well, it's interesting
1236
01:03:07.100 --> 01:03:09.400
 you say plans the the
1237
01:03:13.500 --> 01:03:16.600
Commentary about what was to be provided refers to
1238
01:03:16.600 --> 01:03:19.000
 information. I think
1239
01:03:19.200 --> 01:03:22.600
 it's fair to say that Miss Rhodes is looking for plans that
1240
01:03:22.600 --> 01:03:25.200
 show yeah, those those matters in
1241
01:03:25.200 --> 01:03:28.200
 the context and relation to the public right away Network.
1242
01:03:29.000 --> 01:03:29.200
So
1243
01:03:30.100 --> 01:03:33.200
```

```
what I would like if possible is for
1244
01:03:33.200 --> 01:03:36.500
 whoever is going to actually provide that information
01:03:36.500 --> 01:03:41.800
 and that information including any
1246
01:03:40.800 --> 01:03:43.600
 plans that can be produced to
1247
01:03:43.600 --> 01:03:46.300
 demonstrate that information used to actually
1248
01:03:46.300 --> 01:03:50.400
 speak with Miss Rhodes in the next day or so. So
1249
01:03:49.400 --> 01:03:52.200
 that that can
1250
01:03:52.200 --> 01:03:58.300
 be a mutual understanding of what what
1251
01:03:57.300 --> 01:04:01.300
her interest is again why she
01:04:00.300 --> 01:04:03.100
 thinks it needs to be provided and of
1253
01:04:03.100 --> 01:04:05.500
 course you may disagree with that but
1254
01:04:06.600 --> 01:04:09.600
It in efforts produce something
1255
01:04:09.600 --> 01:04:12.300
 that's meaningful and that will help the examination.
1256
01:04:13.900 --> 01:04:15.100
I'm not going to summarize.
```

```
1257
01:04:15.500 --> 01:04:16.900
that section point to
1258
01:04:17.800 --> 01:04:21.000
that is it is that's an
1259
01:04:22.200 --> 01:04:25.900
yeah, you engage of course. Yeah, right. Okay,
1260
01:04:25.900 --> 01:04:29.000
we'll be here tomorrow so good, right anybody else
1261
01:04:28.300 --> 01:04:30.700
wants to raise anything before we close.
1262
01:04:32.600 --> 01:04:35.700
Thank you very much. It's 10 past five and
1263
01:04:35.700 --> 01:04:38.300
we'll resume at 10 o'clock tomorrow morning. Thank you,
1264
01:04:38.300 --> 01:04:38.700
 everyone.
```